Prepared for submission to JHEP

# A black hole solution of higher-dimensional Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein theory by the Wu-Yang ansatz

**Halil Kuyrukcu**

*Bülent Ecevit University,*
*Physics Department, 67100, Zonguldak, Turkey*

*E-mail:* kuyrukcu@beun.edu.tr

arXiv:1602.02418v1 [gr-qc] 7 Feb 2016

Abstract: We consider the Weyl-Yang gauge theory of gravitation in a (4+3)-dimensional curved space-time within the scenario of the non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theory for the source and torsion-free limits. The explicit forms of the field equations containing a new spin current term and the energy-momentum tensors in the usual four dimensions are obtained by the well-known dimensional reduction procedure. In this limit, these field equations admit (anti)-dyon and magnetic (anti)-monopole solutions as well as a non-Einsteinian solution in the presence of a generalized Wu-Yang ansatz and some specific scalar potentials when the extra dimensions associated with the round and squashed three-sphere $S^3$ are, respectively, included. The (anti)-dyonic solution has similar properties to those of the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black holes of the Einstein-Yang-Mills system. The cosmological constant naturally appears in this approach, and that it associates with the constant dilaton field as well as the three-sphere radius. It is demonstrated that the squashing parameter $\ell$ not only behaves as the constant charge, but also its sign can determine whether the solution is a dyon/monopole or an anti-dyon/anti-monopole. It is also shown that whether the source term reduces to Camenzind's current density, and that the existence of non-constant dilaton fields is essential for finding new black hole solutions in the Weyl-Yang gauge theory.

Keywords: Modified Theories of Gravity, Kaluza-Klein Theories, Exact Solutions, Classical Black Holes

## Contents


**1 Introduction** **1**

**2 Gravitational field equations and stress-energy-momentum tensor** **4**
2.1 The reduced field equations 8
2.1.1 Camenzind's current density 9
2.2 The reduced stress-energy-momentum tensor 11

**3 Ansatz and static black hole solutions** **12**
3.1 The $K(r) = K$ case 14
3.2 The $J(r) = 0$ case 23
3.2.1 The $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0$ case 25
3.2.2 The $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 r$ case 26

**4 Conclusions** **28**


## 1 Introduction

The Weyl-Yang alternative gravitational theory with field equations containing second derivatives of the affine connection and third derivatives of the metric tensor obtained by the standard Palatini formalism [1–4] with vanishing torsion was first considered by Fairchild in the remarkable studies [5, 6]. The work was inspired by early works conducted by Weyl [7], Lanczos [8], Utiyama [9], Lichnerowicz [10], Stephenson [11], Higgs [12], Kilmister and Newman [13], Loos [14], Loos and Treat [15], and Yang [16]. The theory was investigated and developed further by many different authors such as Ramaswamy and Yasskin [17], Tseytlin [18], Szczyrbac [19], Hehl et al. [20], Maluf [21], Rose [22], and Guilfoyle and Nolan [23], and more recently by Vassiliev [24], Mielke and Maggiolo [25], Shen [26], and Pasic and Barakovic [27]. It was also extended/generalized to the Abelian/non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories of unified gravity [28–34] by Başkal and Kuyrukcu/Kuyrukcu [35]/[36], and its ability to solve various cosmological problems was discussed by Gerard [37], Cook [38], Gonzalez [39], Chen [40], Chen et al. [41], and Yang and Yeung [42]. This Yang-Mills-type theory actually may also be thought of as a particular case of the Poincaré Gauge theory [43–54] "that can be considered as the standard theory of gravity with torsion" according to Hehl et al. [55] (for more historical notes, see e.g., Dean [56]).

It is not surprising that the Weyl-Yang theory overcomes certain problems with the linearized field equations [57], but it also suffers from various difficulties such a non-acceptable Newtonian limit for weak-field approximation [5], the non-existence of a Birkhoff theorem [54], uncertainty concerning the source of matter [5, 6, 58], and a negative residue in

the graviton propagator [59]. Nevertheless, this alternative gravitational theory still deserves further investigation and exploration as it has some interesting features as a model theory, although the Einstein field equations are sufficient for the large scale of gravitational physics.

The most important and motivating feature of the theory lies in the fact that the third-order Einsteinian equations are physically more contained, i.e., they possess a much richer structure than their the second-order counterparts within the well-established gravity theory of Einstein [16]. The master equation of the theory can be written as

$$D\star\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \equiv E_\mu \wedge \star D\mathcal{R}_\nu - E_\nu \wedge \star D\mathcal{R}_\mu = 0. \tag{1.1}$$

Note that, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$, $\mathcal{R}_\mu$, $\star$, $E_\mu$ and $D$ refer to the four-dimensional (4D) curvature 2-form, the Ricci 1-form $\mathcal{R}_\mu = \iota_{X_\lambda}\mathcal{R}^\lambda{}_\mu$ where $\iota_{X_\lambda}$ is the inner product, the Hodge star operator, the co-frame 1-form and the covariant derivative, respectively. Thus, the pure gravitational equations of motion (1.1) not only include all of the classes of the solutions to Einstein's vacuum theory (i.e., $\mathcal{R}_\mu = 0$ and $\mathcal{R}_\mu = \Lambda E_\mu$ for all constants $\Lambda$) but also have a physical and un-physical family of non-Einsteinian solutions with or without a spin current term, see e.g., [37, 60]. Another physically attractive property of the considered model is the corresponding gravitational energy-momentum tensor, $\tau_{X_\lambda}$, which is symmetric, trace-free, and covariantly conservative

$$\tau_{X_\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\iota_{X_\lambda}\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \wedge \star\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu} - \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \wedge \iota_{X_\lambda}\star\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}). \tag{1.2}$$

This tensor is associated with the Bel-Robinson superenergy tensor [61–63], and it has a formal analogy with Maxwell's stress-energy tensor of classical electrodynamics [64] as well as that of the Yang-Mills gauge theory [65]. Furthermore, the non-trivial Lagrangian of the theory is simple, purely quadratic, and homogeneous of degree 2 in curvature, and it only includes the Kretschmann invariant [66]. Therefore, it may be thought as the most natural model in the literature by Weyl [7]. We can write the 4D Weyl-Yang action with the coupling constant $\kappa$ as:

$$S = \int_{M_4} \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{M_4} \mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} \wedge \star\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}. \tag{1.3}$$

This curvature squared term also appears in higher-derivative gravity theories such as superstring theory [67–69], quantum gravity [70] and loop quantum cosmology [71], which are perturbatively renormalized [72–74] just as the Yang-Mills theories are [75, 76], as well as asymptotically free [77, 78] and capable of ameliorating ghost modes [79–81].

Despite its higher-order curvature-squared action and its system of third-order coupled non-linear field equations, various exact solutions to the theory have been obtained and discussed in the works of several authors. These include the static spherically symmetric solutions of Pavelle [60, 82–84], Thompson [85], Barrent et al. [86], Baekler et al. [87–89], and Hsu and Yeung [90] (see also Ni [91]); the double-dual solutions of Benn et al. [92]; the anti-self-dual solutions of Mielke [93]; and the pp-wave solutions of Başkal [94] and Kuyrukcu [95] (also see Pavelle [83]). Thus, it is quite natural to discover exact solutions especially in more

than 4D Weyl-Yang theory because of the fact that higher-dimensional models are already a standard assumption in high energy physics. Although this technique offers promising new features, to find exact solutions to the higher-dimensional models is much more difficult [96]. In this scheme, we study static spherically symmetric solutions to the non-Abelian Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein gravity model [36] (which is the straightforward full generalization of the previous work [35] to higher, $N$, dimensions) in the presence of a well-known generalized (not rotating but both electrically- and magnetically-charged) $SU(2)$ Wu-Yang monopole [97–100] and the classical (4+3)D non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein metric ansatz, without loss of generality. The Wu-Yang monopoles (actually Wu-Yang solutions [101]) may be interpreted as non-Abelian versions of the Dirac magnetic monopole [102] (for a review, see e.g., [103, 104]), and they are a very important gauge class for quantum chromodynamics with instanton-like configurations [105]. It should also be emphasized that the magnetic monopole solutions (i.e., topological solitons [106]) together with the dyon, instanton, and meron solutions play very significant roles, not only in 4D Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs (EYMH) theories [107–110] but also in (non)-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories [111–120], since they show explicitly the interaction between the gravitational, gauge, and dilatonic fields, although they are not experimentally observed. On the other hand, despite being the simplest acceptable non-Abelian models [121], the 6D and 7D theories are not as physically attractive as the 5D Abelian and 11D supergravity and superstring models. However, the considered model not only contains both the graviton and the gauge boson together with scalars but also the three-sphere, $S^3$, which being a compact 3D maximally symmetric internal space also has advantages for $SU(2)$ gauge theories [122]. Moreover, the three-sphere is simple, non-trivial, and the best-known 3D manifold along with pseudo-sphere, and it possesses more symmetry than the other space [123].

The outline of our article is as follows. In section 2, the Weyl-Yang version of the non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theory is formulated. We investigate the reduced structures of the field equations, which include the new spin current term in terms of various combinations of 2-form field strength as well as Yang-Mills force density, and the stress-energy-momentum tensor, which consists of the well-known and lesser-known 4D energy-momentum tensors of many different fields, including the Weyl-Yang and Yang-Mills theories in the usual 4D space-time without considering the dilaton fields, i.e., $\varphi(r) = 1$. Moreover, we show that Camenzind's current density appears in the reduced field equations of the 5D Weyl-Yang theory if we consider the specific assumptions. Section 3 is concerned with constructing exact solutions to the third-order Weyl-Yang gravity model by taking into account the 7D classical non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein metric ansatz whose 4D part is spherically symmetric but stationary in time and whose 3D part is the standard round and squashed three-sphere, $S^3$, coupled with the non-vanishing dilaton scalar fields, respectively. Then, different analytic solutions are obtained. One of them is the non-trivial (anti)-dyonic black hole, which carries both constant (one unit or $\ell$) electric and magnetic charges and has similar properties to those of the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter-type (RNdS) black holes of the Einstein-Yang-Mills system. It is demonstrated that the cosmological constant is just an integration constant that comes, interestingly, out of the constant dilaton field and the principal internal radii, which guarantee that its unit is the inverse of the square of a length.

This resulting solution is also compared with the $SO(3)$ magnetic monopole solutions of the 6D [118] and 7D [119] Einsteinian models, respectively. The other solutions that we found can be classified as non-Einsteinian solutions for the $J(r) \neq 0$ case and new magnetic (anti)-monopole solutions for the $J(r) = 0$ case. It seems that obtaining a dyon/monopole or an anti-dyon/anti-monopole solution depends on the sign of the internal space squashing parameter, $\ell$. In this fashion, we also obtain the energy-momentum components which are given in detail corresponding to these exact solutions. It is also shown that the existence of non-constant dilaton fields is essential for finding new black hole solutions in the Weyl-Yang gauge theory. We finally present our conclusions, remarks, and future plans in the last section.

Throughout this study, we will employ the Maurer-Cartan exterior forms (which are compact-coordinate-free notations), together with geometric units (i.e., an unspecified length scale in which $c = 1$, $\hbar = 1$, and $8\pi G = 1$) with unit coupling constants. The differential forms are known to be very useful for defining the basis of non-trivial $S^3$ manifolds and finding exact solutions, rather than a coordinate basis, if there are symmetry conditions on the metric tensor.

## 2 Gravitational field equations and stress-energy-momentum tensor

By taking into account a particular generalization of Einstein's gravity theory, the general exterior form of the Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang-Fairchild (SKYF) action, which is quadratic in the Riemann-Christofell components proportional to the real coupling constant, $\hat{\kappa}$, on the $(4+3)$D space-time manifold, $(M_7, \hat{G})$ may be simply defined as

$$\hat{S}[\hat{E}, \hat{\Gamma}] = \int_{M_7} \hat{\mathcal{L}} = \int_{M_7} -\frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}^2} \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \wedge \hat{\star} \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{AB} + \hat{\Lambda} \hat{\star} \mathbf{1} + \hat{\mathcal{L}}_m[\hat{\Gamma}], \tag{2.1}$$

which includes a cosmological constant, $\hat{\Lambda}$, and a matter-radiation field, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m[\hat{\Gamma}]$, which is coupled to the affine connection. The quantities in the 7D whole space (ordinary 4D external space) are denoted by the symbols with (without) the hat symbol as a superscript. The direct product of the usual 4D Minkowski space-time and a 3D internal space gives the manifold, $M_7$, i.e., $M_7 = M_4 \times M_3$. Here, the curved sub-space $M_3$ is taken to be the usual round three-sphere $M_3 = \mathcal{G}/H = S^3$ with a radius $l = 1$, and therefore, the isometry group of $S^3$ is defined by a special unitary group of degree 2 as $\mathcal{G} = SU(2) \times SU(2) \simeq SO(4)$. We conveniently describe the 7D Hodge dual operator $\hat{\star}$, which is a linear map, $\hat{\star} : \mho_p(M_7) \to \mho_{7-p}(M_7)$ with respect to the Loretzian metric tensor $\hat{G} = \hat{\eta}_{AB} \hat{E}^A \otimes \hat{E}^B$, where $\hat{\eta}_{AB} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1, +1)$, $\mho_p(M_7)$ is the vector space of p-forms, $\hat{E}^A$ are the orthonormal basis, $\otimes$ denotes the symmetric tensor product, and the Latin capital vielbein indices $A, B, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7$ refer to the entire space, $M_7$. The invariant tensor $\hat{\eta}^{AB}$/ $\hat{\eta}_{AB}$ is used to raise/lower the indices appearing on 7D quantities. Moreover, $\hat{\star}\mathbf{1}$ is the oriented volume 7-form as $\hat{\star}\mathbf{1} = \star\mathbf{1} \wedge \#\mathbf{1}$, where $\star\mathbf{1} = \hat{E}^0 \wedge \hat{E}^1 \wedge \hat{E}^2 \wedge \hat{E}^3$ is the usual 4-form invariant volume element with a 4D Hodge map, $\star : \mho_p(M_4) \to \mho_{4-p}(M_4)$, whereas $\#\mathbf{1} = \hat{E}^5 \wedge \hat{E}^6 \wedge \hat{E}^7$ is the 3-form invariant volume element with a 3D Hodge

map, $\# : \mho_p(S^3) \to \mho_{3-p}(S^3)$ with the wedge product $\wedge$. The anti-symmetric Levi-Civita tensor is also chosen to be $\varepsilon_{0123567} = +1$ in this study.

Let us now investigate the coupled gravitational field equations by taking into account the first-order Einstein-Palatini variation formalism which assumes that two fundamental variables the 1-form co-frames (vielbein fields), $\hat{E}^A$, and the Levi-Civita connections, $\hat{\Gamma}^A{}_B$ are independent each other, and "they are invariant under both space-time diffeomorphisms and local frame rotations," as noted by Daum and Reuter [53]. Hence, we have

$$\delta\hat{S}[\hat{E},\hat{\Gamma}] = \int_{M_7} \delta\hat{E}^A \wedge \frac{\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}}{\delta\hat{E}^A} + \delta\hat{\Gamma}^{AB} \wedge \frac{\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}}{\delta\hat{\Gamma}^{AB}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Thus, we evaluate the infinitesimal variation of the generalized SKYF Lagrangian density, $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$, in equation (2.1) by assuming that variations of the fields vanish on the boundary as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\hat{S}[\hat{E},\hat{\Gamma}] = \int_{M_7} \frac{1}{2\hat{\kappa}^2}\delta\hat{E}^A \wedge \Big(\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{BC} - \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{BC}\Big) + \hat{\Lambda}\delta\hat{E}^A \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{E}_A - \\ - \frac{1}{\hat{\kappa}^2}\delta\hat{\Gamma}^{AB} \wedge \hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} + \delta\hat{\Gamma}^{AB} \wedge \hat{S}_{BA},\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

with inner multiplication, $\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A} : \mho_p(M_7) \to \mho_{p-1}(M_7)$. Here the canonical term $\hat{S}_{AB}$ is the spin-density of all matter fields as a complementary term of the theory, which is determined by the variation of the matter Lagrangian $\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m$ with respect to the gauge potentials $\hat{S}_{BA} \equiv \delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}_m/\delta\hat{\Gamma}_{AB}$, and $\hat{D} \equiv \hat{E}^A\hat{D}_{\hat{X}_A}$ stands for the covariant exterior derivative. The variation principle $\delta\hat{S} = 0$ gives the desired two sets of field equations. If the cosmological constant term $\hat{\Lambda}$ vanishes, then the first of these equations is the generalized Yang equation with source term

$$\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = -\hat{\kappa}^2\hat{\star}\hat{S}_{AB}. \tag{2.4}$$

This relationship is the master equation of the considered model with gravitational current 1-form ($\hat{S}_{AB} = \hat{S}_{ABC}\hat{E}^C$), which are antisymmetric under interchange of $A$ and $B$ indices, as expected. It is easy to verify from equation (2.4) and the gravitational Bianchi identities, the first $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \wedge \hat{E}^B = 0$ and the second $\hat{D}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = 0$, that the gauge current term precisely satisfies the conservation property $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{S}_{AB} = 0$ and the cyclic identity $\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}^B}\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}^A}\hat{\star}\hat{S}_{AB} = 0$, or more simply, $\hat{S}_{AB} \wedge \hat{E}^A \wedge \hat{E}^B = 0$. On the other hand, the other fundamental field equations that are obtained from the co-frame variation lead to

$$\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{BC} - \hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{BC}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} = \hat{T}_{AB}\hat{\star}\hat{E}^B$ is interpreted as the canonical stress-energy-momentum tensor, which is determined by $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} \equiv \hat{\kappa}^2(\delta\hat{\mathcal{L}}/\delta\hat{E}^A)$. By taking the covariant exterior derivative of both sides of the energy definition (2.5), we obtain

$$\hat{D}\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} = -\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{S}^{BC}. \tag{2.6}$$

It can be seen above that $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A}$ is covariantly conservative, provided that $\hat{S}_{BC} = 0$. One can also derive that the trace of the stress-energy tensor $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} \wedge \hat{E}^A$ on the manifold $(M_7, \hat{G})$ turns to be

$$\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A} \wedge \hat{E}^A = -\frac{3}{2}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{BC} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{BC}. \tag{2.7}$$

This is completely trace-free only in the 4D limit.

Let us now obtain reduced forms of the field equations and stress-energy tensors in the usual 4D space-time by making use of a dimensionality reduction procedure. First, we assume that the gravitational source terms vanish, and that the fields are independent of the extra-coordinates in higher dimensions. It is convenient to also write the (4+3)D metric tensor $\hat{G}(x, y)$ in the form:

$$\hat{G}(x, y) = G(x) + \delta_{ij}(A^i \otimes A^j + A^i \otimes E^j + E^i \otimes A^j) + G(y). \tag{2.8}$$

We introduce 7D coordinates as $z^A = (x^\mu, y^i)$, where (and henceforth in this work), the Greek vierbein indices $\mu, \nu, ... = 0, 1, 2, 3$ refer to the 4D external space $M_4$ with the Minkowskian signature metric, $G(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}E^\mu(x) \otimes E^\nu(x)$, while the Latin dreibein indices $i, j, ... = 5, 6, 7$ refer to the curved internal 3D compact sub-space $S^3$ with the Euclidean signature metric $G(y) = \delta_{ij}E^i(y) \otimes E^j(y)$ and $\delta_{ij}$ =diag$(+1, +1, +1)$. In addition, Yang-Mills gauge field 1-form can be defined as $A^i = A^i(x) = A^i_\mu(x)E^\mu(x)$.

On account of the full Lorentzian metric tensor $\hat{G}(x, y) = \hat{\eta}_{AB}\hat{E}^A \otimes \hat{E}^B$ and equation (2.8), the appropriate orthonormal co-frames take the simplified forms

$$\hat{E}^\mu(x) = E^\mu(x), \qquad \hat{E}^i(x, y) = A^i(x) + E^i(y). \tag{2.9}$$

It follows that we immediately obtain the components of interior product operator $\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}$ as

$$\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_\mu}(x, y) = \imath_{X_\mu} - A^i_\mu(x)\imath_{X_i}, \qquad \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_i}(y) = \imath_{X_i}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here, $\{\hat{X}_A\}$ are frame fields dual to the co-frames $\{\hat{E}^A\}$, together with $\hat{E}^B(\hat{X}_A) = \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{E}^B = \hat{\delta}_A{}^B$, and $\imath_{X_\mu}/\imath_{X_i}$ are the 4D/3D contraction operators, which are dual to co-frames $E^\mu/E^i$, i.e., $\imath_{X_\mu}E^\nu = \delta_\mu{}^\nu/\imath_{X_i}E^j = \delta_i{}^j$. It is worthwhile to recall that, the first Cartan-Maurer structure equation is represented by

$$\hat{D}\hat{E}^A \equiv \hat{d}\hat{E}^A + \hat{\Gamma}^A{}_B \wedge \hat{E}^B = \hat{T}^A, \tag{2.11}$$

with the seven-exterior derivative $\hat{d} \equiv \hat{E}^A\hat{d}_{\hat{X}_A}$. Equation (2.11) can be solved for the constraint equation $\hat{T}^A \equiv \frac{1}{2}\hat{T}_{BC}{}^A\hat{E}^B \wedge \hat{E}^C = 0$, as

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{AB} = \frac{1}{2}[\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_B}\hat{d}\hat{E}_A - \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{d}\hat{E}_B + (\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_B}\hat{d}\hat{E}_C)\hat{E}^C], \tag{2.12}$$

where $\hat{\Gamma}_{AB}$ are the 1-form Levi-Civita connections, i.e., $\hat{\Gamma}_{(AB)} = 0$, which means that the metric compatibility condition $\hat{D}\hat{G}(x, y) = 0$ is valid for the torsion-free case [124]. Hence,

substituting equations (2.9) and (2.10) into the equation (2.12), we directly obtain the following components of the spin connection $\hat{\Gamma}_{AB}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Gamma}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \Gamma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}F^{i\mu}{}_{\nu}\hat{E}_i, \\
\hat{\Gamma}_{\mu}{}^{j} &= -\hat{\Gamma}^{j}{}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}F^{j}_{\mu\nu}E^{\nu}, \\
\hat{\Gamma}^{i}{}_{j} &= \Gamma^{i}{}_{j} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}A^{k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

By assuming that the $T_i$ are the generators of the group $SU(\mathcal{N})$, the corresponding Lie algebra is usually defined as $[T_i, T_j] = f^{k}{}_{ij}T_k$ with real structure constants $f^{k}{}_{ij}$ and $T_i = \frac{1}{2}\sigma_i$, where $\sigma_i$ are the Pauli matrices. We also have $f^{k}{}_{ij} = \varepsilon^{k}{}_{ij}$ for the gauge group $SU(2)$ and the little group $SO(3)$. Hence, we can prove that the co-frames of internal $S^3$ space satisfy the right-invariant structure equations (see e.g., [32, 125])

$$dE^{i}(y) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}E^{j}(y) \wedge E^{k}(y), \tag{2.14}$$

meaning that $\Gamma^{i}{}_{j} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}E^{k}$. Moreover, the $SU(2)$-valued 2-form field strength is explicitly determined by $F^{i} \equiv dA^{i} + \frac{1}{2}e\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}A^{j} \wedge A^{k} = \frac{1}{2}F^{i}_{\mu\nu}E^{\mu} \wedge E^{\nu}$. Here, $e$ is nothing but the coupling constant, and for convenience, it can be taken as unity, $e = 1$.

We now consider the second Maurer-Cartan structure equation,

$$\hat{D}\hat{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{B} \equiv \hat{d}\hat{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{B} + \hat{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{C} \wedge \hat{\Gamma}^{C}{}_{B} = \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B}, \tag{2.15}$$

to obtain the dimensionally-reduced curvature 2-form $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\hat{R}^{A}{}_{BCD}\hat{E}^{C} \wedge \hat{E}^{D}$. Substituting gauge potential 1-form (2.13) into equation (2.15) and performing some algebra, the first component, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu\nu}$, becomes (see, e.g., [126])

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \Psi^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \Omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu}, \tag{2.16}$$

where the 2-form terms are

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &= \mathcal{R}^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}F^{i\mu}{}_{\nu}F_i - \frac{1}{4}\iota_{X^{\mu}}F^{i} \wedge \iota_{X_{\nu}}F_i, \\
\Omega^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &\equiv \Omega^{i\mu}{}_{\nu} \wedge \hat{E}_i = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}F^{i\mu}{}_{\nu} \wedge \hat{E}_i, \\
\Sigma^{\mu}{}_{\nu} &\equiv \Sigma^{ij\mu}{}_{\nu}\hat{E}_i \wedge \hat{E}_j = \frac{1}{4}(F^{i\mu\lambda}F^{j}_{\lambda\nu} - \varepsilon^{ij}{}_{k}F^{k\mu}{}_{\nu})\hat{E}_i \wedge \hat{E}_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Here, we also make use of the ordinary curvature 2-form, $\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu}$, of the external space, $M_4$, and the Yang-Mills covariant derivative, $\mathcal{D}F^{i}_{\mu\nu} = DF^{i}_{\mu\nu} + e\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}A^{j}F^{k}_{\mu\nu}$ in the adjoint representation. It follows that the remaining non-vanishing components can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu}{}^{j} &= -\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{j}{}_{\mu} = \Omega^{j}{}_{\mu\nu} \wedge E^{\nu} - \Sigma^{ij}{}_{\mu\nu}E^{\nu} \wedge \hat{E}_i, \\
\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{i}{}_{j} &= \Sigma^{i}{}_{j\mu\nu}E^{\mu} \wedge E^{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\hat{E}^{i} \wedge \hat{E}_j.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

It is not difficult to conjecture that, in order to find the desired field equations and stress-energy tensors, we need not only the curvature 2-form (2.16)–(2.18) but also the reduced forms of various Hodge duality identities, which are found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\hat{\star}\hat{E}^{\mu} = \star E^{\mu} \wedge \#1, \qquad\qquad \hat{\star}\hat{E}^{i} = \#E^{i} \wedge \star 1,\\
&\hat{\star}(\hat{E}^{\mu} \wedge \hat{E}^{\nu}) = \star(E^{\mu} \wedge E^{\nu}) \wedge \#1,\\
&\hat{\star}(\hat{E}^{\mu} \wedge \hat{E}^{j}) = -\hat{\star}(\hat{E}^{j} \wedge \hat{E}^{\mu}) = -\star E^{\mu} \wedge \#E^{j},\\
&\hat{\star}(\hat{E}^{i} \wedge \hat{E}^{j}) = \#(E^{i} \wedge E^{j}) \wedge \star 1.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

### 2.1 The reduced field equations

Taking into account the definition of a covariant exterior derivative, the second gravitational Bianchi identity is manifestly written as

$$\hat{D}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B} = \hat{d}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B} + \hat{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{C} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{C}{}_{B} - \hat{\Gamma}^{C}{}_{B} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{C} = 0, \tag{2.20}$$

enabling us to arrive at the source-free field equations (2.4) in the following form:

$$\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B} = \hat{d}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{B} + \hat{\Gamma}^{A}{}_{C} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{C}{}_{B} - \hat{\Gamma}^{C}{}_{B} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{A}{}_{C} = 0. \tag{2.21}$$

After some lengthy but tedious calculations and remembering that the antisymmetry property of the wedge product gives some extra terms ($\hat{E}^{A} \wedge \hat{E}^{B} \equiv \hat{E}^{A} \otimes \hat{E}^{B} - \hat{E}^{B} \otimes \hat{E}^{A}$), we obtain six reduced equations including the gravitational and the gauge fields, which are determined by three field equations, $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu}{}_{v} = 0$, $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{\mu}{}_{j} = 0$, and $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{i}{}_{j} = 0$. Their final forms are explicitly written in a Cartesian chart as:

$$D_{\lambda}R^{\lambda}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\big[F_{i\mu\nu}J^{i}_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(F_{i\sigma\nu}J^{i}_{\mu} - F_{i\sigma\mu}J^{i}_{\nu}) + \mathcal{D}_{\nu}(F_{i\mu}{}^{\lambda}F^{i}_{\lambda\sigma}) - \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(F_{i\nu}{}^{\lambda}F^{i}_{\lambda\sigma})\big], \tag{2.22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}F^{i}_{\mu\nu} + R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}F^{i\lambda\rho} + F^{i}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}(F^{i}_{\lambda\rho}F^{j\lambda\rho}F_{j\mu\nu} - F^{i}_{\mu\lambda}F^{j\lambda\rho}F_{j\rho\nu} + F^{i}_{\nu\lambda}F^{j\lambda\rho}F_{j\rho\mu}) +\\
&+\varepsilon^{i}{}_{kj}(F^{k}{}_{\mu\lambda}F^{j\lambda}{}_{\nu} - F^{j}{}_{\mu\lambda}F^{k\lambda}{}_{\nu}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}F^{i\lambda}{}_{\sigma} + R_{\mu\lambda\sigma\rho}F^{i\lambda\rho} + \frac{1}{2}F^{i}_{\mu\sigma} - \frac{1}{4}(F^{i}_{\lambda\rho}F^{j\lambda\rho}F_{j\mu\sigma} + 2F^{i}_{\sigma\lambda}F^{j\lambda\rho}F_{j\rho\mu}) -\\
&-\varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}F^{j}_{\mu\lambda}F^{k\lambda}{}_{\sigma} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mu}(F^{i}_{\lambda\rho}F^{j\lambda\rho}) + F^{i}_{\mu}{}^{\lambda}J^{j}_{\lambda} - \varepsilon^{ij}{}_{k}J^{k}_{\mu} = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

$$F^{j\lambda}{}_{\mu}J^{i}_{\lambda} - F^{i\lambda}{}_{\mu}J^{j}_{\lambda} - 2\varepsilon^{ij}{}_{k}J^{k}_{\mu} = 0, \tag{2.26}$$

$$\varepsilon^{ik}{}_{l}F^{j}_{\lambda\rho}F^{l\lambda\rho} - \varepsilon^{jk}{}_{l}F^{i}_{\lambda\rho}F^{l\lambda\rho} + 2\varepsilon^{ij}{}_{l}F^{k}_{\lambda\rho}F^{l\lambda\rho} = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

where $J^{i}_{\nu} = \mathcal{D}_{\mu}F^{i\mu}{}_{\nu}$ is the Yang-Mills current 1-form (i.e., $\mathcal{D}\star F^{i} = \star J^{i}$) and the right side of equation (2.22) can be described as a 4D source term of the matter field as

$$S_{\mu\nu\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}\big[F_{i\mu\nu}J^{i}_{\sigma} + \frac{1}{2}(F_{i\sigma\nu}J^{i}_{\mu} - F_{i\sigma\mu}J^{i}_{\nu}) + \mathcal{D}_{\nu}(F_{i\mu}{}^{\lambda}F^{i}_{\lambda\sigma}) - \mathcal{D}_{\mu}(F_{i\nu}{}^{\lambda}F^{i}_{\lambda\sigma})\big]. \tag{2.28}$$

By taking into account the equation (1.1) and the following identity

$$[\mathcal{D}_{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_{\nu}]F^{i\lambda}{}_{\sigma} = R^{\lambda}{}_{\tau\mu\nu}F^{i\tau}{}_{\sigma} - R^{\tau}{}_{\sigma\mu\nu}F^{i\lambda}{}_{\tau} + \varepsilon^{i}{}_{jk}F^{j}_{\mu\nu}F^{k\lambda}{}_{\sigma}, \tag{2.29}$$

the reduced field equations above can be written in terms of the reduced components of the 1-form Ricci tensor $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A$, $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A = \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_B}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^B{}_A = \hat{R}_{AB}\hat{E}^B$, as

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{P}_{\sigma\nu} - \mathcal{D}_\nu\mathcal{P}_{\sigma\mu} + \frac{1}{4}(F_{i\sigma\mu}\mathcal{Q}^i_\nu - F_{i\sigma\nu}\mathcal{Q}^i_\mu - 2F_{i\mu\nu}\mathcal{Q}^i_\sigma) = 0, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{Q}^i_\nu - \mathcal{D}_\nu\mathcal{Q}^i_\mu + F^{i\lambda}{}_\nu\mathcal{P}_{\lambda\mu} - F^{i\lambda}{}_\mu\mathcal{P}_{\lambda\nu} + \frac{1}{2}F_{j\mu\nu}\mathcal{U}^{ij} = 0, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{Q}^i_\sigma + F^{i\lambda}{}_\sigma\mathcal{P}_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{1}{4}F_{j\sigma\mu}\mathcal{U}^{ij} = 0, \tag{2.32}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\mathcal{U}^{ij} + F^i_\mu{}^\lambda\mathcal{Q}^j_\lambda - \varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\mathcal{Q}^k_\mu = 0, \tag{2.33}$$

$$F^{j\lambda}{}_\mu\mathcal{Q}^i_\lambda - F^{i\lambda}{}_\mu\mathcal{Q}^j_\lambda - 2\varepsilon^{ij}{}_k\mathcal{Q}^k_\mu = 0, \tag{2.34}$$

$$\varepsilon^{ik}{}_l\mathcal{U}^{jl} - \varepsilon^{jk}{}_l\mathcal{U}^{il} + 2\varepsilon^{ij}{}_l\mathcal{U}^{kl} = 0, \tag{2.35}$$

where the non-Abelian $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{Q}^i_\nu, \mathcal{U}^{ij}\}$-set becomes the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}F^i_{\mu\lambda}F_{i\nu}{}^\lambda,\\ \mathcal{Q}^i_\nu &= \mathcal{D}_\mu F^{i\mu}{}_\nu,\\ \mathcal{U}^{ij} &= F^i_{\mu\nu}F^{j\mu\nu} + \varepsilon^i{}_{kl}\varepsilon^{jkl},\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

with $\varepsilon^i{}_{kl}\varepsilon^{jkl} = 2\delta^{ij}$ for the 3D internal space. One can see that equations (2.22)–(2.27) (and (2.30)–(2.35)) possess a richer structure than those found in Einstein's theory (2.36) since these equations naturally contain Einsteinian solutions

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}F^i_{\mu\lambda}F_{i\nu}{}^\lambda, \qquad \mathcal{D}_\mu F^{i\mu}{}_\nu = 0, \qquad F^i_{\mu\nu}F^{j\mu\nu} = 2\delta^{ij}, \tag{2.37}$$

and it is for the following reasons. For instance, from equations (2.25) and (2.26), we have

$$f^{(ij)}_\mu = -2\mathcal{D}_\mu(F^i \wedge \star F^j), \tag{2.38}$$

which means that the Lorentz force density 4-form, $f^{ij}_\mu$ ($f^i_{\mu i} = -\iota_{X_\mu}F^i \wedge \star J_i$), which usually appears in the geodesic equations of non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories (see e.g., [127, 128]) are equal to the negative gradient of the $F^i \wedge \star F^j$ term, which is a well-known invariant of non-Abelian theory [35, 36]. Moreover, the usual 4D continuity equation, $\mathcal{D} \star J^i = 0$, is easily obtained by help of the equations (2.23) and (2.24).

### 2.1.1 Camenzind's current density

By taking into account the 4D second gravitational Bianchi identity $D\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and the Einstein field equation $\mathbb{G}_\mu \equiv \star\mathcal{R}_\mu - \frac{1}{2} \star E_\mu\mathcal{R} = \tau_{X_\mu}$ where the 4D Ricci scalar is $\mathcal{R} = \iota_{X_\nu}\iota_{X_\mu}\mathcal{R}^{\mu\nu}$, Camenzind has defined the current term $\mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ to clarify the source-term problem of the theory [5, 6, 58] without using the variational principle as follows:

$$D_\lambda R^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} \equiv \mathcal{S}_{\mu\nu\sigma} = D_\nu(T_{\sigma\mu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\mu}T) - D_\mu(T_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\sigma\nu}T), \tag{2.39}$$

where $T_{\sigma\mu} = \iota_{X_\mu} \star \tau_{X_\sigma}$ is the usual 4D stress-energy tensor whose trace is $T$, i.e., $T = T_\lambda{}^\lambda$ [129, 130] (see also [38]). In this subsection, we try to show whether the current density

term (2.28) can be written in the form of equation (2.39). In that respect, it is more convenient to consider the Abelian counterparts of the equations (2.30)–(2.35) (and of the (4+N)D non-Abelian Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein gravity model [36]) because the non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories have a well-known inconsistency problem for the reduced components of the Einstein field equation $\hat{\mathbb{G}}_A = 0$ [131]. Indeed, we have already obtained the dimensionally reduced field equations of the 5D Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein gravity model [35] with scalar field $\varphi = \varphi(x)$ (and $\partial_\mu \varphi = \varphi_\mu$) as

$$D_\mu \mathcal{P}_{\sigma\nu} - D_\nu \mathcal{P}_{\sigma\mu} + \frac{1}{4}(F_{\sigma\mu}\mathcal{Q}_\nu - F_{\sigma\nu}\mathcal{Q}_\mu + 2F_{\nu\mu}\mathcal{Q}_\sigma) = 0, \tag{2.40}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu - D_\nu \mathcal{Q}_\mu + F_\mu{}^\lambda \mathcal{P}_{\lambda\nu} - F_\nu{}^\lambda \mathcal{P}_{\lambda\mu} + 2\varphi^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.41}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu + \varphi^{-1}(\varphi_\nu \mathcal{Q}_\mu + 2\varphi_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu) - F_\nu{}^\lambda \mathcal{P}_{\lambda\mu} + \varphi^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.42}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{U} - \frac{1}{4}\varphi^3 F_\mu{}^\lambda \mathcal{Q}_\lambda + \varphi^\lambda \mathcal{P}_{\lambda\mu} = 0, \tag{2.43}$$

where the Abelian $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{Q}_\nu, \mathcal{U}\}$-set now reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} &= R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 F_{\mu\lambda}F_\nu{}^\lambda - \varphi^{-1}D_\mu\varphi_\nu, \\ \mathcal{Q}_\nu &= D_\mu F^\mu{}_\nu + 3\varphi^{-1}\varphi_\mu F^\mu{}_\nu, \\ \mathcal{U} &= D_\mu\varphi^\mu - \frac{1}{4}\varphi^3 F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

After some manipulations, the equations (2.40)–(2.43) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma\nu} - D_\nu \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\sigma\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}(g_{\sigma\nu}D_\mu\mathcal{U} - g_{\sigma\mu}D_\nu\mathcal{U}) + \\ + \frac{1}{4}(F_{\sigma\mu}\mathcal{Q}_\nu - F_{\sigma\nu}\mathcal{Q}_\mu + 2F_{\nu\mu}\mathcal{Q}_\sigma) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu - D_\nu \mathcal{Q}_\mu + F_\mu{}^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\nu} - F_\nu{}^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\mu} + 3\varphi^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.46}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu + \varphi^{-1}(\varphi_\nu \mathcal{Q}_\mu + 2\varphi_\mu \mathcal{Q}_\nu) - F_\nu{}^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{3}{2}\varphi^{-1}F_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.47}$$

$$D_\mu \mathcal{U} - \frac{1}{4}\varphi^3 F_\mu{}^\lambda \mathcal{Q}_\lambda + \varphi^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^{-1}\varphi_\mu \mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.48}$$

where the new term $\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - (T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T). \tag{2.49}$$

Here the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$, which is covariantly conservative ($D_\mu T^\mu{}_\nu = 0$), and the trace $T$ respectively become

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2(F_{\mu\lambda}F_\nu{}^\lambda - \frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}F_{\lambda\rho}F^{\lambda\rho}) + \varphi^{-1}(D_\mu\varphi_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}D_\lambda\varphi^\lambda), \tag{2.50}$$

$$T = -3\varphi^{-1}D_\lambda\varphi^\lambda. \tag{2.51}$$

Hence, it is not difficult to conjecture that we can write the reduced forms of the Weyl-Yang field equations in terms of not only the $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{Q}_\nu, \mathcal{U}\}$-set in (2.40)–(2.43) but also

the $\{\widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{Q}_\nu, \mathcal{U}\}$-set in (2.45)–(2.48), which are the empty space solutions of the ordinary Kaluza-Klein gravity model [132], as

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{R}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \\ \hat{R}_{\mu 5} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\mu = 0, \\ \hat{R}_{55} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.52}$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{G}}_A = 0 \Rightarrow \begin{cases} \hat{G}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \Rightarrow R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = T_{\mu\nu} \Rightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \\ \hat{G}_{\mu 5} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_\mu = 0, \\ \hat{G}_{55} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{U} = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.53}$$

Therefore, it appears that equation (2.39) can be valid in the framework of the 5D Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein model if we consider the specific assumptions for the equations (2.45)–(2.48), where $T_{\mu\nu}$ is the energy-momentum tensor that comes from Einstein's description of gravity. For example, if we assume that $D_\mu \mathcal{U} = 0$ (but $\mathcal{U} \neq 0$) and $\mathcal{Q}_\mu = 0$, the field equations (2.45)–(2.48) are reduced to

$$D_\lambda R^\lambda{}_{\sigma\mu\nu} = D_\nu (T_{\sigma\mu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma\mu} T) - D_\mu (T_{\sigma\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\sigma\nu} T), \tag{2.54}$$

$$F_\nu{}^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\mu} - \frac{3}{2} \varphi^{-1} F_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{U} = 0, \tag{2.55}$$

$$\varphi^\lambda \widetilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\lambda\mu} + \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{-1} \varphi_\mu \mathcal{U} = 0. \tag{2.56}$$

### 2.2 The reduced stress-energy-momentum tensor

We can also obtain dimensionally reduced components of the energy-momentum tensor of the matter fields $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A}$ by taking into account equation (2.5) together with the equations (2.16)–(2.19). These equations are not only rather lengthy and complicated but also the include the well-known Weyl-Yang (WY) energy-momentum tensor

$$\tau^{WY}_{X_\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\iota_{X_\mu} \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} \wedge \star \mathcal{R}^{\nu\lambda} - \mathcal{R}_{\nu\lambda} \wedge \iota_{X_\mu} \star \mathcal{R}^{\nu\lambda}), \tag{2.57}$$

and that of Yang-Mills (YM) theory

$$\tau^{YM}_{X_\mu} = \frac{1}{2} (\iota_{X_\mu} F_i \wedge \star F^i - F_i \wedge \iota_{X_\mu} \star F^i), \tag{2.58}$$

as well as those of the various constituent fields, such as those which are non-minimal, cubic, quartic etc. For completeness, we explicitly list here the reduced components of the energy-momentum 6-form of $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A}$ by virtue of $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_\mu} = \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \hat{\star} \hat{E}^\nu + \hat{T}_{\mu j} \hat{\star} \hat{E}^j$ and $\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_i} = \hat{T}_{i\nu} \hat{\star} \hat{E}^\nu + \hat{T}_{ij} \hat{\star} \hat{E}^j$ in the (4+3) decomposition. We can also check by employing the components below, whether the full energy-momentum tensor, $\hat{T}_{AB}$, satisfies the conservation property $\hat{D}_A \hat{T}^A{}_B = 0$ or

not. Then, direct calculations give the first component of the energy tensor as

$$\hat{T}_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\lambda\sigma\rho}R_{\nu}{}^{\lambda\sigma\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}R_{\tau\lambda\sigma\rho}R^{\tau\lambda\sigma\rho} + \frac{3}{2}(F_{i\mu\lambda}F^{i\,\lambda}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{i\tau\lambda}) +$$
$$+\frac{3}{2}F^{i\rho\sigma}[F_{i(\mu}{}^{\lambda}R_{\nu)\lambda\sigma\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}F_{i}^{\tau\lambda}R_{\tau\lambda\sigma\rho}] + \frac{3}{2}\varepsilon_{ijk}[\frac{1}{2}F^{i}_{(\mu|\sigma}F^{j\sigma\rho}F^{k}_{\rho|\nu)} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}F^{i}_{\tau\sigma}F^{j\sigma\rho}F^{k\,\tau}_{\rho}] +$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}(F_{i\mu\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{j}F^{i}_{\sigma\rho}F^{j\rho}{}_{\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{j}F^{i}_{\sigma\rho}F^{j\rho\tau}) + \frac{3}{8}F^{i}_{\sigma\rho}F^{j\sigma\rho}(F_{i\mu\lambda}F_{j\nu}{}^{\lambda} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{\tau\lambda}_{j}) +$$
$$+\frac{1}{4}(F_{i\mu\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{j}F^{j}_{\sigma\rho}F^{i\rho}{}_{\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{j}F^{j}_{\sigma\rho}F^{i\rho\tau}) - \frac{1}{16}\eta_{\mu\nu}\delta_{ij}\delta^{ij} +$$
$$+(\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}F_{i\mu\rho}\mathcal{D}^{\sigma}F^{i\,\rho}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{D}_{\mu}F_{i\sigma\rho}\mathcal{D}_{\nu}F^{i\sigma\rho} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}F_{i\tau\rho}\mathcal{D}^{\sigma}F^{i\tau\rho}). \tag{2.59}$$

It should be emphasized here that the $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and $\delta_{ij}$ are the vierbein and dreibein metrics of the external and internal manifolds, respectively and that $\delta_{ij}\delta^{ij} = 3$. The remaining components correspondingly are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{\mu j} &= \frac{1}{2}R_{\mu\lambda\sigma\rho}\mathcal{D}^{\lambda}F^{\sigma\rho}_{j} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{jik}F^{i\sigma\rho}\mathcal{D}_{(\mu}F^{k}_{\rho)\sigma} + \frac{3}{4}F_{i\mu}{}^{\lambda}F^{i\sigma\rho}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}F_{j\rho\lambda} - \frac{1}{2}F^{\sigma\lambda}_{j}F_{i\lambda}{}^{\rho}\mathcal{D}_{(\mu}F^{i}_{\sigma)\rho},\\
\hat{T}_{ij} &= \frac{3}{8}[\varepsilon_{kli}F^{l}_{\tau\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}_{j}F^{k\,\tau}_{\rho} + \varepsilon_{klj}F^{l}_{\tau\sigma}F^{\sigma\rho}_{i}F^{k\,\tau}_{\rho} - \delta_{ij}\varepsilon_{klm}F^{l}_{\tau\sigma}F^{m\sigma\rho}F^{k\,\tau}_{\rho}] -\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{16}(\frac{1}{2}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{k}F_{j\sigma\rho}F^{k\rho\tau} + \delta_{ij}F_{l\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{k}F^{l}_{\sigma\rho}F^{k\rho\tau}) +\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(\frac{5}{2}F_{i\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{k}F^{k}_{\sigma\rho}F^{\rho\tau}_{j} - \delta_{ij}F_{l\tau\lambda}F^{\lambda\sigma}_{k}F^{k}_{\sigma\rho}F^{l\rho\tau}) +\\
&\quad +\frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}F_{i\tau\rho}\mathcal{D}^{\sigma}F^{\tau\rho}_{j} - \delta_{ij}\mathcal{D}_{\sigma}F_{k\tau\rho}\mathcal{D}^{\sigma}F^{k\tau\rho}) - \frac{3}{8}F_{i\lambda\rho}F^{\lambda\rho}_{j} -\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{4}\delta_{ij}(R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}R^{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} - \frac{3}{2}F^{k\mu\nu}F^{\lambda\rho}_{k}R_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho} + \frac{3}{8}F_{k\mu\nu}F^{k\mu\nu}F_{l\lambda\rho}F^{l\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{4}).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.60}$$

It is not difficult to conjecture that for the 4D case, we only obtain the source-free field equation $D\star\mathcal{R}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ and the stress-energy tensor of the 4D Weyl-Yang theory, $\tau^{WY}_{X_\mu}$.

## 3 Ansatz and static black hole solutions

Now, we investigate the black hole solutions to the $(4+3)$D Weyl-Yang gravity model by taking into account the generalized Wu-Yang ansatz (for a review of higher-dimensional black hole solutions for different manners, see e.g., [96]). It is very difficult to obtain exact solutions of higher-derivative gravity theories. In order to obtain computational advantage in solving third-order non-linear partial differential equations, it is useful to consider the symmetric version of the 4D static spherically-symmetric space-time metric which describes not only charged but also asymptotically (anti-) de Sitter black holes. In the usual Schwarzschild coordinates, $x = (t, r, \theta, \phi)$, the external metric can put into the form

$$G(x) = -f(r)dt\otimes dt + f(r)^{-1}dr\otimes dr + r^2 d\theta\otimes d\theta + r^2\sin^2\theta d\phi\otimes d\phi, \tag{3.1}$$

where the metric function $f(r)$ depends only on the radial coordinate $r$. Moreover, we choose the 3D line element for the internal space as the unit three-sphere $S^3$ in terms of

Euler coordinates, $y = (\Theta, \Phi, \psi)$ [133], describing rotations, which are given by the line element in its standard form:

$$G(y) = d\Theta \otimes d\Theta + \sin^2\Theta d\Phi \otimes d\Phi + (d\psi + \cos\Theta d\Phi) \otimes (d\psi + \cos\Theta d\Phi). \tag{3.2}$$

Here, the ranges are $0 \leq \theta, \Theta \leq \pi$, $0 \leq \phi, \Phi \leq 2\pi$, $0 \leq \psi \leq 4\pi$, and $0 < r < r_\infty$, and $\partial/\partial\Phi = \partial_\Phi$ is the usual Killing field associated with the circle $S^1$ in the background of the Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories. The internal metric $G(y)$ in equation (3.2) is actually a special case of Berger sphere [134], and it can be rewritten in the general rescaled, i.e., "squashed" form

$$G(y) = \sum_{i=5}^{7} l_i^2 E^i \otimes E^i, \tag{3.3}$$

where the $E^i$ are the right-invariant 1-form set by

$$\begin{aligned} E^5(y) &= \cos\psi d\Theta + \sin\psi\sin\Theta d\Phi, \\ E^6(y) &= -\sin\psi d\Theta + \cos\psi\sin\Theta d\Phi, \\ E^7(y) &= d\psi + \cos\Theta d\Phi. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Other choices of $E^i$ that satisfy the structure equation (2.14) are also possible (see e.g., [135–137]). The $l_i$, $0 < l_i < \infty$, can be defined as the curvature radii of the internal space, and they are constants for a static background. We consider the symmetric case where the three principal radii of curvature are equal, $l_5 = l_6 = l_7$, corresponding to the usual round three-sphere with isometry group $\mathcal{G} = SU(2) \times SU(2) \simeq SO(4)$ [138]. In that special case, the $S^3$ manifold is completely invariant under the right action of the gauge group, $SU(2)$ [139]. We also investigate the case where $l_5 = l_6 \neq l_7$, which corresponds to the squashed sphere geometry with isometry algebra $\mathcal{G} = SU(2) \times U(1)$. It possesses a circular isometry, and it usually appears in the quantum field theory, especially in the mixmaster Universe (see [140] and references therein). The 0-form dilaton field is, for the sake of simplicity, taken $\varphi = \varphi(r) = 1$ in the equation (2.8), but here it can be useful to use $\varphi(r)$ to investigate the additional effects of this field on the non-trivial solutions. Thus the final form of the full metric $\hat{G}(x, y)$ becomes

$$\hat{G}(x, y) = G(x) + \varphi(r) \sum_{i=5}^{7} (l_i E^i + A^i) \otimes (l_i E^i + A^i). \tag{3.5}$$

We also introduce well-known, time-independent, generalized Wu-Yang monopole-like gauge potentials. Thus, in general, the gauge field ansatz is given by the following spherically symmetric electric and magnetic parts:

$$A^i = \mathcal{A}^i_0(\vec{x}, t)dt + \mathcal{A}^i_a(\vec{x}, t)dx^a, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}^i_0(\vec{x}, t) = \frac{J(r)}{gr}\hat{x}^i, \qquad \mathcal{A}^i_a(\vec{x}, t) = \varepsilon^i{}_{ab}\frac{[K(r) - 1]}{gr}\hat{x}^b, \tag{3.7}$$

which are free from any line singularity if we assume all Yang-Mills fields to be independent of the extra internal coordinates. Here, the profile functions, $J(r)$ and $K(r)$, are arbitrary real-valued functions depending on the variable $r = \mid \vec{x} \mid$, to be determined by the equations of motion. As usual, $g$ is the quantized monopole charge, $\hat{x}^a = x^a / \mid \vec{x} \mid$ are the spatial unit vectors, $i, j, ... = 1, 2, 3$ are the color indices, and $a, b, ... = 1, 2, 3$ are the space indices, which mean that both indices are used in the same way. After some manipulations in Minkowski space-time, the corresponding Yang-Mills field strength 2-form, in which the electrically- and magnetically-charged but-not-rotating particles moving with unit charge (i.e., $g = 1$) and coupling constant ($e = 1$), can explicitly be obtained as:

$$\begin{aligned} F^i = & \left\{ \delta^i_a \left( \frac{JK}{r^2} \right) + x^i x_a \left[ \frac{rJ' - J(K+1)}{r^4} \right] \right\} dx^a \wedge dt + \\ & + \varepsilon_{ab}{}^c \left[ \delta^i_c \left( \frac{K'}{r} \right) - \frac{x^i x_c}{r^3} \left( K' - \frac{K^2 - 1}{r} \right) \right] dx^a \wedge dx^b, \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

(see also e.g., [141, 142]). Here, prime implies a derivative taken with respect to $r$, as usual. If we define the electric-like field 1-form as $E^i \equiv E^i_a dx^a$ and the magnetic-like field 2-form as $B^i \equiv B^i_a \star (dx^a \wedge dt)$, then we can write $F^i = E^i \wedge dt + B^i$. Consequently, the electric fields and the magnetic fields can be obtained from equation (3.8) by taking into account the relationships $E^i \equiv \iota_{X_t} F^i$ and $B^i \equiv -\iota_{X_t} \star F^i$ [143].

By substituting the squashed version of the (4+3)D metric tensor (3.5) where $l_5 = l_6 = l$ and $l_7 = \ell$, together with the gauge potentials (3.7), into the master field equation (2.4) ($\hat{S}_{AB} = 0$), we unfortunately obtain complicated and highly non-linear sets of field equations due to the nature of the Weyl-Yang model (our calculations have been checked by the Atlas 2 [144], which is the differential geometry package for Mathematica). However, after we carefully analyze the full patterns of differential equations which are completely independent of the internal coordinates, $y = (\Theta, \Phi, \psi)$, we recognize the fact that there are non-trivial choices that make the field equations solvable. Therefore, the two following cases easily appear for finding exact solutions (for similar choices for different manners, see e.g., [145, 146]).

### 3.1 The $K(r) = K$ case

Assume the non-trivial gauge charge $K(r)$ to be a constant, $K(r) = K$. Then, the particles still carry both electric and magnetic charge unless $J(r) = 0$ or $K(r) = 1$, and the particle-like solution is called a dyon solution by Schwinger [147] in Abelian theory and later by Julia-Zee [148] in non-Abelian theory (by taking into account the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole solution [149, 150], which is the first well-known magnetic monopole solution for the Yang-Mills-Higgs theory with gravity neglected in the literature). The dyons can also be interpreted as the excited states of magnetic monopoles from the point of view of modern physics. Then, we obtain 21 very complicated dyon equations, and the

simplest non-vanishing one becomes the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&l^4\varphi(r)(K-1)\Big\{g^2r^2\big[3J(r)^2-4f(r)(K-1)^2\big]-J(r)^2(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2- \\
&-J(r)^2\big[g^2r^2+(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2\big]\cos 2\theta\Big\}+2(l^2-\ell^2)r\cos^2\theta(K-1)\varphi(r)J(r)J'(r)\times \\
&\times\Big\{l^2\big[g^2r^2+(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2\big]+3gl^2\ell(K-1)\varphi(r)^2+\ell^2\big[g^2r^2+(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2\big]\Big\}+ \\
&+\ell\varphi(r)\Bigg(gl^4\Big\{4g^2r^2\big[J^2-2f(r)(K-1)^2\big]-3J(r)^2(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2- \\
&-3\cos 2\theta J(r)^2(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2\Big\}+\ell(K-1)\Big\{-8g^4l^4r^2f(r)-gl^2\ell(K-1)\big[4g^2r^2f(r)- \\
&-3J(r)^2\varphi(r)^2-3J(r)^2\varphi(r)^2\cos 2\theta\big]+2\cos^2\theta\ell^2J(r)^2\big[g^2r^2+(K-1)^2\varphi(r)^2\big]\Big\}\Bigg)+ \\
&+4g^2l^2r^3\varphi'(r)\Bigg(l^2(K-1)\big[-J(r)^2+f(r)(K-1)^2\big]+ \\
&+g\ell\Big\{l^2\big[-J(r)^2+2f(r)(K-1)^2\big]+\ell f(r)(K-1)\big[2gl^2+\ell(K-1)\big]\Big\}\Bigg)=0. \qquad (3.9)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we can prove that if $\ell=\pm l$, the equation (3.9) is reduced to more simpler form as

$$
(K-1\pm gl)\left[f(r)(K-1)(K-1\pm 2gl)-J(r)^2\right]\left[r\varphi'(r)-\varphi(r)\right]=0. \qquad (3.10)
$$

This gives the following conditions for the constant $K$:

$$
K=\begin{cases}1-gl & \text{if } \ell=l,\\ 1+gl & \text{if } \ell=-l,\end{cases} \qquad (3.11)
$$

where the both conditions, $\ell=l$ and $\ell=-l$, correspond actually to the round three-sphere due to the $\ell^2=(\pm l)^2=l^2$, if

$$
f(r)(K-1)(K-1\pm 2gl)-J(r)^2\neq 0, \qquad (3.12)
$$

$$
r\varphi'(r)-\varphi(r)\neq 0. \qquad (3.13)
$$

Then, we can symbolically write

$$
K=1-g\ell. \qquad (3.14)
$$

After that, we immediately obtain from the other field equations

$$
[rJ'(r)-J(r)]^2-g^2\ell^2=0, \qquad (3.15)
$$

with the supplementary equation

$$
\varphi'(r)=0. \qquad (3.16)
$$

We easily achieve the following particular solutions of equations (3.15) and (3.16), respectively:

$$J(r) = j_\infty r \pm g\ell, \tag{3.17}$$

$$\varphi(r) = \varphi_0, \tag{3.18}$$

where $j_\infty$ and $\varphi_0$ are the corresponding integration constants of $J(r)$ and the scalar field $\varphi(r)$. $J(r)$ can be explicitly written as

$$J(r) = \begin{cases} j_\infty r \pm gl & \text{if } \ell = l, \\ j_\infty r \mp gl & \text{if } \ell = -l. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

In that respect, the remaining field equations reduce to following set of radial differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} f'''(r) + \frac{2}{r} f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} f'(r) + \frac{4\varphi_0^2 \ell^2}{r^5} &= 0, \\ f''(r) + \frac{2}{r} f'(r) - \frac{\varphi_0^2 \ell^2 (l^2 + \ell^2)}{2 l^2 r^4} + \frac{1}{\ell^2 \varphi_0^2} &= 0, \\ f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} \left[ f(r) - \frac{\ell^2}{l^2} \right] - \frac{\varphi_0^2 \ell^2 (l^4 + 3\ell^4)}{2 l^4 r^4} &= 0, \\ f'(r) + \frac{1}{r} \left[ f(r) - 1 \right] + \frac{\varphi_0^2 \ell^6}{2 l^4 r^3} + \frac{r \ell^2}{2 l^4 \varphi_0^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Now, it is possible to simplify differential expressions above due to the $\ell^n = l^n$ only if $n$ is an even integer. Therefore, we have the following equations for round $S^3$ internal metric:

$$\begin{aligned} f'''(r) + \frac{2}{r} f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} f'(r) + \frac{4\varphi_0^2 l^2}{r^5} &= 0, \\ f''(r) + \frac{2}{r} f'(r) - \frac{\varphi_0^2 l^2}{r^4} + \frac{1}{l^2 \varphi_0^2} &= 0, \\ f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} \left[ f(r) - 1 \right] - \frac{2\varphi_0^2 l^2}{r^4} &= 0, \\ f'(r) + \frac{1}{r} \left[ f(r) - 1 \right] + \frac{\varphi_0^2 l^2}{2 r^3} + \frac{r}{2 l^2 \varphi_0^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

After integration, these differential sets admit an exact but singular solution of the following form:

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Z^2}{r^2} - \frac{1}{3} \Lambda r^2, \tag{3.22}$$

where we have defined

$$\Lambda = \frac{1}{2(l\varphi_0)^2}, \qquad\qquad Z^2 = \frac{1}{4\Lambda} = \frac{(l\varphi_0)^2}{2}, \tag{3.23}$$

and $2M > 0$ is the integration constant, which is identified with the total time-independent gravitational mass of the black hole, and the parameter $Z$ can be interpreted as the charge-like term of the space-time. Equation (3.22) is the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter (RNdS)-type black hole solution with a positive cosmological constant, $\Lambda > 0$. The RNdS solution

is a charged black hole immersed in an asymptotically de Sitter space-time. It was first obtained by Carter [151] and its properties are well-defined (for a detailed discussion, see e.g., [152, 153]). Moreover, RN-type solutions have been previously discussed for the EYMH model [107–110] (also see e.g., [154–160]).

In the present situation, the positive cosmological constant of the asymptotically de Sitter black hole is associated with a constant dilaton field, $\varphi_0$, and the radius of the three-sphere, $l$, and the de Sitter cosmological radius can be written as $R = \sqrt{6}l\varphi_0$ if $\Lambda = 3/R^2$. We remark that if $l = 1$, then the constant dilaton field can behave as a radius of three-sphere [126]. The observational data suggest that the dimensionful parameter $\Lambda$ is non-zero with an accelerating universe [161, 162] and its value is approximately $|\Lambda| \approx 10^{-52}m^{-2}$ [163] (and see also [164]). Then, we have $\varphi_0 l \approx 10^{26}m$, and the dimension of the charge is $[Z] = \text{Length}$.

We can analyze the curvature invariant, curvature scalar and roots of $f(r)$ to understand the general structure of RNdS-type black hole solutions, as usual. As might be expected, the singularity behavior of the considered solution is similar to that of the RNdS black hole. The Kretschmann scalar or the Lagrangian density $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \hat{\star}(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} \wedge \hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{AB})$ are calculated as

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{17}{24(l\varphi_0)^4} + \frac{96M[Mr^2 - (l\varphi_0)^2 r] + 21(l\varphi_0)^4}{4r^8}, \tag{3.24}$$

and the Ricci scalar $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_B}\hat{\imath}_{\hat{X}_A}\hat{\mathcal{R}}^{AB}$ behaves as

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{7}{2(l\varphi_0)^2}. \tag{3.25}$$

Hence, the curvature invariant in equation (3.24) as well as the metric function $f(r)$ in equation (3.22) exhibit a physically essential (curvature) singularity at the origin, $r = 0$, and the Ricci scalar $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ is finite everywhere. As $r \to \infty$, the curvature invariant becomes $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{17}{24(l\varphi_0)^4}$, and for $r \neq 0$ it is also finite. On the other hand, the horizon function or the lapse function $\Delta(r)$ can be written as

$$\Delta(r) = -\frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^4 + r^2 - 2Mr + Z^2. \tag{3.26}$$

The real roots are determined by solving the quartic polynomial function $\Delta(r) \equiv 0$, and they can be ordered as $r_1 < 0 < r_- \leq r_+ < r_c$ if $Z \neq 0$. That is

$$\Delta(r) = (r - r_1)(r - r_-)(r - r_+)(r - r_c). \tag{3.27}$$

Here, $r_-$ is the inner (Cauchy) horizon, $r_+$ is the black hole event horizon, $r_c$ corresponds to the outer cosmological horizon, and the negative root $r_1 = -(r_c + r_+ + r_-)$ is nonphysical. From equation (3.26), we can deduce the explicit forms of the zeros of $\Delta(r)$ in the following forms:

$$r_\pm = \Omega \pm \sqrt{\Psi^{(-)}}, \qquad\qquad r_{c,1} = -\Omega \pm \sqrt{\Psi^{(+)}}, \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\Omega = l\varphi_0\sqrt{1+\left[\left(\frac{3}{2}\frac{M}{l\varphi_0}\right)^2-1\right]^{1/3}}, \qquad \Psi^{(\pm)} = 3(l\varphi_0)^2\left(1\pm\frac{M}{\Omega}\right)-\Omega^2. \quad (3.29)$$

If we want to obtain a space-time with horizons but without any un-physical naked singularity, we must restrict the value of mass $M$ to the certain limits by taking into account $l$ and $\varphi_0$. For instance, we suppose that $\frac{9}{4}\frac{M^2}{l^2\varphi_0^2} > 1$ to obtain a positive cube root term, and obviously $\Omega > 0$. This restriction is compatible with Lake's condition, $Z^2 < \frac{9}{8}M^2$ [165], as expected.

We can also construct the "extremal" or "cold" black hole solution where two or three roots are equal to each other. For example, if the two horizons coincide $r_- = r_+ = r_h$ at $r_h$, then we have $\Psi^{(-)} = 0$ which means that the mass $M$ ($M < Z$) yields

$$M = \frac{2}{3}l\varphi_0. \quad (3.30)$$

Hence, $r_h$ becomes

$$r_h = l\varphi_0. \quad (3.31)$$

This is a charged Nariai-type black hole solution with a horizon at $r_h$ [166]. It is easy to see that the cosmological horizon also satisfies $r_c = r_h$. In other words, three horizons (rather than two, as assumed) coincide at $r_h = r_{ucd} = l\varphi_0$, and we actually obtain the ultracold black hole together with $f(r_{ucd}) = f'(r_{ucd}) = f''(r_{ucd}) = 0$, $M = \frac{2}{3}r_{ucd}$, and $Z^2 = \frac{1}{2}r_{ucd}^2$, which are all compatible with the results of [152] and [167] (also see e.g., [168]). Moreover, the surface gravity of the corresponding horizon takes a form in terms of the slope of $f(r)$ at that horizon [169, 170] (for the alternative definition, see [171]), given by:

$$\kappa_{(-,+,c)} = \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{df(r)}{dr}\right|_{r=r_{(-,+,c)}}. \quad (3.32)$$

For instance, the surface gravity associated with the event horizon $\kappa_+$ can be written as

$$\kappa_+ = \frac{1}{2}\left|\frac{2M}{r_+^2}-\frac{r_+}{3(l\varphi_0)^2}-\frac{(l\varphi_0)^2}{r_+^3}\right|. \quad (3.33)$$

Furthermore, the associated Hawking temperature, $T_H$, [170, 172] can be calculated by using the relationship $T_H = \frac{|\kappa|}{2\pi}$, i.e.,

$$T_+ = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left|\frac{2M}{r_+^2}-\frac{r_+}{3(l\varphi_0)^2}-\frac{(l\varphi_0)^2}{r_+^3}\right|. \quad (3.34)$$

In the extreme case, the RNdS black hole has zero temperature, and for the $Z^2 = M^2$ case which represents lukewarm black holes [173] the temperature is given by

$$T = \frac{1}{6\pi l\varphi}\sqrt{\sqrt{3}-\frac{3}{2}} \approx \frac{0.026}{l\varphi_0}, \quad (3.35)$$

and the solutions are stable in this fashion. This temperature is also directly obtained from the relationship

$$T=\frac{1}{2\pi}\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}\left(1-4M\sqrt{\frac{\Lambda}{3}}\right)}, \tag{3.36}$$

which is given in [152, 174].

We can also record the gauge potentials in the following forms:

$$A^{i}=\ell\left[\left(\frac{j_{\infty}}{g\ell r}\pm\frac{q}{r^{2}}\right)x^{i}dt-\frac{p}{r^{2}}\varepsilon^{i}{}_{ab}x^{b}dx^{a}\right]. \tag{3.37}$$

Here, the constant terms $q=1$ and $p=1$ are placed into the general gauge equation above for later convenience. In the first place, it is not difficult to conjecture that $A^{i}_{(\ell=-l)}\neq -A^{i}_{(\ell=l)}$. However, by taking into account Dirac's quantization relation [102] we require not only that $e=g$, but also that $g=-\frac{1}{\ell}$, which satisfies $\mathcal{D}\star F^{i}=0$. Then, equation (3.37) can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{pmatrix}A^{1}\\A^{2}\\A^{3}\end{pmatrix}=\ell\Big[\Big(\pm\frac{q}{r}-j_{\infty}\Big)\begin{pmatrix}\sin\theta\cos\phi\\\sin\theta\sin\phi\\\cos\theta\end{pmatrix}dt-p\begin{pmatrix}\sin\phi\\-\cos\phi\\0\end{pmatrix}d\theta-p\sin\theta\begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta\cos\phi\\\cos\theta\sin\phi\\-\sin\theta\end{pmatrix}d\phi\Big], \tag{3.38}$$

which satisfies $A^{i}_{(\ell=-l)}=-A^{i}_{(\ell=l)}$. Here, the parameters $j_{\infty}$, $q$, and $p$ determine the scale of $J(r)$ [175], and the electric and magnetic charge, respectively.

For $SU(2)$ gauge fields, the dimensionless, global, gauge invariant non-Abelian electric charge, $\mathcal{Q}^{YM}$, and the magnetic charge, $\mathcal{P}^{YM}$, may be defined by employing surface integrals over the two-sphere $S^{2}$ at $r\to\infty$, as in [176–179] (also see [180]):

$$\mathcal{Q}^{YM}\equiv-\frac{g}{4\pi}\oint_{r\to\infty}\sqrt{\sum_{i}(\star F^{i}_{\theta\phi})^{2}}d\theta d\phi,\qquad \mathcal{P}^{YM}\equiv-\frac{g}{4\pi}\oint_{r\to\infty}\sqrt{\sum_{i}(F^{i}_{\theta\phi})^{2}}d\theta d\phi. \tag{3.39}$$

Equation (3.39) is also useful for obtaining horizon charges and local charges [181, 182]. Thus, following the Yang-Mills topological charges from equation (3.39), we have the following:

$$\mathcal{Q}^{YM}=\frac{|q\ell|}{\ell},\qquad \mathcal{P}^{YM}=\frac{|p(p-2)\ell|}{\ell}. \tag{3.40}$$

That is

$$\mathcal{Q}^{YM}=\begin{cases}+1 & \text{if } \ell=l,\\ -1 & \text{if } \ell=-l,\end{cases}\qquad \mathcal{P}^{YM}=\begin{cases}+1 & \text{if } \ell=l,\\ -1 & \text{if } \ell=-l.\end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

Thus, it is found that for the case $\ell=l$ the gauge field corresponds to the positively-charged dyon solution whereas we have the negatively-charged anti-dyon solution for the case $\ell=-l$. It seems that whether a dyon or anti-dyon solution is obtained depends on

the sign of the internal space squashing parameter, $\ell$. In this fashion, the (anti)-dyonic black hole carries one unit of electric charge and one unit of magnetic charge, and it is appropriate to redefine parameter $Z^2$ in (3.23) as

$$Z^2 = \frac{\mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2}{8\Lambda}, \tag{3.42}$$

where the total (electric plus magnetic) time-independent gravitational charge of the space-time is equal to

$$\mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2 = 2. \tag{3.43}$$

It is essential here to note that, for the $SU(\mathcal{N})$ gauge field, the only value of the magnetic charge $\mathcal{P}$ appearing in the black hole solutions is given by (see e.g., [183–185])

$$\mathcal{P}^2 = \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{N}+1)(\mathcal{N}-1). \tag{3.44}$$

Hence, for the gauge group $\mathcal{G} = SU(2)$, we have $\mathcal{P}^2 = 1$, which we already obtained from equation (3.41). The resulting dyon solution is actually relevant to the Abelian-like solution of the EYMH model, which was previously given by Kasuya and Kamata [186–188] (also see [175, 189]) as a special solution. Moreover, when $q = j_\infty = 0$, the gauge potentials reduce to the well-known Wu-Yang monopole with infinite classical energy [97, 190] (see also the Bogomolnyi-Prasad-Sommerfield monopole solutions [191, 192]) but this case is not a solution of our model when $J(r) = 0$.

It is useful a quickly review the main results of the remarkable studies of Perry [118] and Angus [119]. They considered a case where not only was the field strength in a four-index form but also the gauge potentials were simpler than the generalized Wu-Yang ansatz (3.7), by taking the 6D and 7D Einstein equations into account together with a cosmological constant and the matter fields, respectively. In the compact form, the gauge ansatz that was used was $A^i = \delta^i_3 A(r,\theta)$, which can be explicitly written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^1 \\ A^2 \\ A^3 \end{pmatrix} = J(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} dt + K(r) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} d\phi. \tag{3.45}$$

This condition is also known as the Abelian gauge, because of the its similarity to the $U(1)$ (which is a subgroup of $SU(2)$) Abelian model [193], keeping in mind that any Abelian solutions solve the non-Abelian equations. Perry found the Abelian-like solution to the 6D model with a matter source from the Einstein action in the following form:

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Z^2}{r^2}, \tag{3.46}$$

where

$$Z^2 = \frac{q^2 + p^2}{8\Lambda} = \frac{p^2}{4\Lambda}, \qquad\qquad \Lambda = \frac{1}{2\varphi_0^2}, \tag{3.47}$$

The gauge field and whose functions are

$$A^3 = \mp\frac{q}{r}dt + p\cos\theta d\phi, \tag{3.48}$$

$$J(r) = \pm\frac{q}{r}, \qquad K(r) = p, \tag{3.49}$$

where $q = p$ and $p$ is a constant. The topological charge, $p$, must be $p = \frac{n}{2}$, where $n$ is an integer (for $Z_2$ monopoles $p = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ [106]) to avoid string singularities. On the other hand, the solutions of the 7D model found by Angus are very problematic, and only approximate solutions may be possible, due to more the complicated non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein metric ansatz. The metric function, $f(r)$, can roughly be written as

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Z^2}{r^2} + O(\frac{1}{r^3}), \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$Z^2 = \frac{q^2 + p^2}{4\Lambda}, \qquad \Lambda = \frac{1}{2l^2}, \tag{3.51}$$

for $\alpha_0 = 0$. Furthermore, the unknown profile functions and the gauge field respectively are

$$J(r) = \frac{q}{r} + O(\frac{1}{r^2}), \qquad K(r) = p, \tag{3.52}$$

$$A^3 = -\left[\frac{q}{r} + O(\frac{1}{r^2})\right] dt + p\cos\theta d\phi, \tag{3.53}$$

where $q$ and $p$ are again constant. Hence, our solution (3.22) is not only in a more desirable and suitable form than both Perry's (3.46) and Angus' (3.50) solutions but also the spherically-symmetric gauge potentials (3.38) are more general and non-Abelian than both (3.48) and (3.53).

Although we prefer to keep the radii of curvature $\ell$ out of the gauge potentials in equation (3.38), we can also consider the case where $q = p = \ell$. In fact, due to the quantization conditions, we can already write $p = \frac{1}{2}nR$, where $R$ is the Kaluza-Klein circle radius of $S^1$ for the 5D Abelian model [194]. Therefore, it is not surprising that the magnetic monopole charge $p$ is related to the internal space parameter $\ell$. Hence, we have the total $SU(2)$ charge as $\mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{P}^2 = 2l^2g^2$, and the parameter $Z^2$ and the new modified cosmological constant $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ in the following forms:

$$Z^2 = \frac{2l^2g^2}{8\widetilde{\Lambda}} = \frac{l^2g^2}{4\widetilde{\Lambda}}, \qquad \widetilde{\Lambda} = l^2g^2\Lambda = \frac{g^2}{2\varphi_0^2}. \tag{3.54}$$

It is not difficult to conjecture that these equations reduce to the previous equations (3.23) if $g = -\frac{1}{\ell}$, where $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda$, and Perry's results in equation (3.47) for the case $g = 1$, respectively. The new positive cosmological term $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ depends on the constant dilaton field as well as the charge parameter, $g$, in this case.

As mentioned before, the topological charges in equation (3.41) have very special values with $q = p = 1$. As was noted by Perry [118], these types of charges actually occur in the

vacuum solutions of Einsteinian gravity (see e.g., [120]), i.e., $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A = \hat{\Lambda}\hat{E}_A$ for all constant $\hat{\Lambda}$ where $\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda$, which corresponds to the Einstein manifold. We obtain the same results for the Weyl-Yang model, due to the fact that the empty-space solutions exactly solve $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = 0$. However the master equation $\hat{D}\hat{\star}\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{AB} = 0$ also contains the physical and un-physical families of non-Einsteinian solutions. We obtain the following relations from the equation (3.10), which do not appear in the empty space solutions of the Einstein field equation:

$$f(r) = \frac{J^2(r)}{(K-1)(K-1+2g\ell)}, \tag{3.55}$$

where $K \neq 1$ and $K \neq 1 - 2g\ell$, or

$$\varphi'(r) - \frac{1}{r}\varphi(r) = 0. \tag{3.56}$$

The solution of dilaton equation (3.56) is found to be

$$\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 r. \tag{3.57}$$

It is straightforward to insert solution (3.57) into the field equations to investigate the exact analytic solutions. Doing this, one of the field equations becomes the following:

$$rJ^2(r)\frac{f'(r)}{f(r)} - (K-1)(K-1+2g\ell)f(r) - 2rJ(r)J'(r) + J^2(r) = 0. \tag{3.58}$$

It may be rewritten as

$$\left[\frac{J^2(r)}{rf(r)}\right]' - \frac{(K-1)(K-1+2g\ell)}{r^2} = 0. \tag{3.59}$$

Hence, we have

$$f(r) = \frac{J^2(r)}{(K-1)(K-1+2g\ell) + f_0 r}, \tag{3.60}$$

where $r \neq -(K-1)(K-1+2g\ell)/f_0$ and $f_0$ is an integration constant, $f_0 \neq 0$. However, it is very difficult to find the function $J(r)$, the constant $f_0$, and therefore the metric function $f(r)$, which satisfies all of the coupled field equations, even if we consider further assumptions about the function $J(r)$. Thus, further investigations are needed to clarify the physical meaning of both solutions (3.55) and (3.60), if they exist. For instance, numerical analysis can be made possible by taking into account the finite-energy dyon configurations.

Finally, the components of the gravitational energy-momentum second-rank tensor are given by employing the RNdS-like black hole solution and expressing the relationship $\hat{T}_{AB} =$

$\hat{\iota}_{\hat{X}_B}\hat{\star}\hat{\tau}_{\hat{X}_A}$ in the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{T}_{tt} &= \frac{3}{16(l\varphi_0)^4} + \frac{2r^4 + 24(l\varphi_0)^2(3r^2 - 8Mr) + 63(l\varphi_0)^4}{24r^8},\\
\hat{T}_{rr} &= -\frac{3}{16(l\varphi_0)^4} - \frac{10r^4 + 24(l\varphi_0)^2(r^2 - 4Mr) + 39(l\varphi_0)^4}{24r^8},\\
\hat{T}_{\theta\theta} &= \hat{T}_{\phi\phi} = -\frac{3}{16(l\varphi_0)^4} + \frac{2r^4 + 16(l\varphi_0)^2(r^2 - 3Mr) + 15(l\varphi_0)^4}{8r^8},\\
\hat{T}_{\Theta\Theta} &= -\frac{5}{48(l\varphi_0)^4} - \frac{96M\left[Mr^2 - (l\varphi_0)^2 r\right] + (l\varphi_0)^4\left(21 - 4\sin^2\theta\cos^2\phi\right)}{8r^8},\\
\hat{T}_{\Phi\Phi} &= -\frac{5}{48(l\varphi_0)^4} - \frac{96M\left[Mr^2 - (l\varphi_0)^2 r\right] + (l\varphi_0)^4\left(21 - 4\sin^2\theta\sin^2\phi\right)}{8r^8},\\
\hat{T}_{\psi\psi} &= -\frac{5}{48(l\varphi_0)^4} - \frac{96M\left[Mr^2 - (l\varphi_0)^2 r\right] + (l\varphi_0)^4(19 - 2\cos 2\theta)}{8r^8}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.61}$$

On the other hand, the flux-like components can be found to be

$$\frac{\hat{T}_{t\Theta}}{\sin\theta\cos\phi} = \frac{\hat{T}_{t\Phi}}{\sin\theta\sin\phi} = \frac{\hat{T}_{t\psi}}{\cos\theta} = \frac{\ell\varphi_0\sqrt{f(r)}\left[6Mr - 5(l\varphi_0)^2\right]}{r^7}, \tag{3.62}$$

$$\frac{\hat{T}_{\Theta\Phi}}{\sin^2\theta\sin 2\phi} = \frac{\hat{T}_{\Theta\psi}}{\sin 2\theta\cos\phi} = \frac{\hat{T}_{\Phi\psi}}{\sin 2\theta\sin\phi} = \frac{(l\varphi_0)^4}{4r^8}. \tag{3.63}$$

One can also write the remaining components of the stress-energy tensor by employing its symmetry properties. All other components vanish as well. Let us finally mention that the all stress-energy tensors above are divergence at the singular point $r = 0$, and there are no proper values for $\theta$ and $\phi$ that make all off-diagonal components zero. However, the components in equation (3.62) that depend only on the parameter $\ell$, among all the components, vanish at the zeros of $f(r)$.

### 3.2 The $J(r) = 0$ case

We can alternatively consider the case where the Coulomb part of gauge field is chosen so that $\mathcal{A}_0^i(\vec{x}) = 0$. In other words, the electric field vanishes at $J(r) \equiv 0$, and the Yang-Mills fields become purely magnetic, rather than dyons, due to this restricted monopole configuration. In this regard, the corresponding magnetic-monopole solutions are dipole-like solutions, i.e., $F_{ab}^i \sim \frac{1}{r^3}$ (see the equation (3.8)), and they vanish faster than the monopole-like solutions, i.e., $F_{ab}^i \sim \frac{1}{r^2}$. Then, the simplest field equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&3g^2l^2\ell^2r^2f(r)\varphi(r)^2[K'(r)]^2 + 2g^2l^2(l^2 - \ell^2)r^2f(r)\varphi(r)\varphi'(r)[K(r) - 1]K'(r) -\\
&-3[K(r) - 1]^2\Bigg(-g^2l^2r^2 + 2gl^2\ell[K(r) - 1]\varphi(r)^2 + \ell^2\Big\{[K(r) - 1]^2\varphi(r)^2 + g^2r^2 +\\
&+4g^2l^2\varphi(r)^2 + 2g\ell[K(r) - 1]\varphi(r)^2\Big\}\Bigg) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.64}$$

Again, we can assume that $\ell = \pm l$, then the equation (3.64) simply gives

$$\varphi(r)^2\left\{g^2l^2r^2f(r)\left[K'(r)\right]^2 - [K(r) - 1]^2[K(r) - 1 \pm 2gl]^2\right\} = 0. \tag{3.65}$$

Thus, the metric function $f(r)$ takes the form if $\varphi(r) \neq 0$ as:

$$f(r) = \frac{[K(r)-1]^2[K(r)-1\pm 2gl]^2}{g^2l^2r^2\left[K'(r)\right]^2}. \tag{3.66}$$

However, we could not find any solution, which satisfies all of the coupled field equations, for $K(r) \neq constant$. Hence, let us assume again that the space component function of the gauge field is of the form $K(r) = K$, where $K$ is a constant and $K \neq 1$, to simplify the field equations. Then using $\ell^n = l^n$ with $n$ being an even integer we obtain by employing the equation (3.65):

$$K = 1 - 2g\ell. \tag{3.67}$$

Here, we also prove that $K = 1-g\ell$ is surprisingly not a solution anymore for this considered case. The piecewise-defined constant $K$ can explicitly be written in the following forms:

$$K = \begin{cases} 1-2gl & \text{if } \ell = l, \\ 1+2gl & \text{if } \ell = -l, \end{cases} \tag{3.68}$$

for the round internal metric. The gauge potentials, which are electrically neutral are found to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^1 \\ A^2 \\ A^3 \end{pmatrix} = \ell\Big[-\widetilde{p}\begin{pmatrix} \sin\phi \\ -\cos\phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} d\theta - \widetilde{p}\sin\theta \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\phi \\ \cos\theta\sin\phi \\ -\sin\theta \end{pmatrix} d\phi\Big], \tag{3.69}$$

which again satisfy $A^i_{(\ell=-l)} = -A^i_{(\ell=l)}$ with the constant term $\widetilde{p} = 2$. In the round limit, all components of the Yang-Mills strength (3.8) vanish, and the $A^i_{(\ell=l)}$ is the so-called pure gauge. However, there are two possibilities for the constant $g$ that satisfy the 4D source-free Yang-Mills equations $\mathcal{D}\star F^i = 0$ with $e = g$ for this situation. These are

$$g = -\frac{1}{\ell}, \qquad\qquad g = -\frac{1}{2\ell}. \tag{3.70}$$

The electric charge already vanishes, $\mathcal{Q}^{YM} = 0$, in the absence of $J(r)$, while the non-zero color magnetic charge is the same as equation (3.40) for $g = -\frac{1}{\ell}$:

$$\mathcal{P}^{YM} = \frac{|\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{p}-2)\ell|}{\ell}, \tag{3.71}$$

which gives zero charge in both the $\ell = l$ and $\ell = -l$ cases, due to the $\widetilde{p} = 2$

$$\mathcal{P}^{YM} = 0. \tag{3.72}$$

On the other hand, for $g = -\frac{1}{2\ell}$, $\mathcal{P}^{YM}$ is calculated as

$$\mathcal{P}^{YM} = \frac{|\widetilde{p}(\widetilde{p}-4)\ell|}{4\ell}. \tag{3.73}$$

Then we obtain the positively-charged monopole and the negatively-charged anti-monopole as

$$\mathcal{P}^{YM} = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } \ell = l, \\ -1 & \text{if } \ell = -l. \end{cases} \tag{3.74}$$

This monopole solution, which has zero energy, was previously discussed by Wu and Yang by taking the sourceless Yang-Mills equations into account. However the anti-monopole solution is new, and corresponds to the infinite energy Wu-Yang monopole [97].

Next, we turn to the investigation of the black hole solutions. Inserting expression (3.67) into the field equations yields three coupled nonlinear differential equations as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
&f''' + \left[3\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) + \frac{2}{r}\right] f'' - \left[3\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{r^2}\right] f' = 0, \\
&f'' + 3\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) f' - 2\left[3\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right] f + \frac{2\ell^2}{l^2 r^2} = 0, \\
&\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) f'' + \left[4\left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi}\right) + \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{r}\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)\right] f' + 2\Bigg\{\left(\frac{\varphi'''}{\varphi}\right) + \left(\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) + \frac{2}{r}\right] - \\
&-2\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)\left[\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2}\right]\Bigg\} f + \frac{1}{l^2\varphi^2}\left(\frac{\varphi'}{\varphi}\right) = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.75}$$

In the first place, we recognize the fact that there is no relationship between the charge-like constant $\widetilde{p}$ and the field equations above. Thus, this case may be considered as a kind of Brans-Dicke-type case. It is not easy to obtain the general analytic solutions of two unknown functions $f = f(r)$ and $\varphi = \varphi(r)$ from the field equations (3.75). However, we can consider some specific dilaton ansatz not only for the sake of simplicity but also deserving attention in the following subsections:

### 3.2.1 The $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0$ case

First, we can restrict ourselves to the simplest case where the scalar field is just a constant, i.e., $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0$. Then, the differential equations (3.75) reduce to two simple sets as

$$f'''(r) + \frac{2}{r} f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} f'(r) = 0, \tag{3.76}$$

$$f''(r) - \frac{2}{r^2} f(r) + \frac{2}{r^2} = 0. \tag{3.77}$$

It is not difficult to find exact solutions for the equations (3.76) and (3.77). Hence, we obtain

$$f(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2, \tag{3.78}$$

where the two integration constants, $M$ and $\Lambda$, may be interpreted as a mass and a cosmological constant of the black hole, respectively. This solution is nothing but the famous neutral Schwarzschild black hole (Kottler) solution in the de Sitter space-time if $\Lambda > 0$.

That is the simplest black hole solution of the Einstein equations with a positive cosmological constant [195–197]. In the 4D Weyl-Yang limit, this solution has already been obtained by several authors [5, 82, 83, 90, 91], because of fact that the vacuum solutions of Einstein equations, i.e., $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A = 0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_A = \hat{\Lambda}\hat{E}_A$, are also the first integral solutions of the master equation (2.4) of the theory ($\hat{S}_{AB} = 0$) to be found in the natural way, as mentioned before. However, in our approach the cosmological constant comes naturally out from the field equations as a integration constant. This situation seems to be more natural than adding a cosmological constant into the Einstein field equations by hand. The boundary conditions of the (in)-homogeneous Universe determine the cosmological constant, and fine-tuning may not be as serious of a problem as it is in Einstein's theory of relativity (for a detailed discussion, see [38, 41]).

On the other hand, the roots of the black hole solution (3.78) can be classified into three cases:

$$\begin{cases} \text{two real positive zeros} \quad r_+ < r_c & \text{if} \quad 0 < 9M^2\Lambda < 1, \\ \text{the extreme case} \quad r_+ = r_c = 3M & \text{if} \quad 9M^2\Lambda = 1, \\ \text{no real positive zeros} & \text{if} \ 9M^2\Lambda > 1, \end{cases} \tag{3.79}$$

where $M > 0$ (see e.g., [198]). Let us also remark that, if we assume that $\Lambda < 0$, we obtain the Schwarzschild-anti-de Sitter solution, and by setting $\Lambda = 0$ we just have the Schwarzschild solution. The invariants in this case become

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{3}{8(l\varphi_0)^4} + \frac{4\Lambda^2}{3} + \frac{24M^2}{r^6}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{3}{2(l\varphi_0)^2} + 4\Lambda, \tag{3.80}$$

and the nonzero components of the stress-energy tensor turn out to be

$$\hat{T}_{tt} = -\hat{T}_{rr} = -\hat{T}_{\theta\theta} = -\hat{T}_{\phi\phi} = \frac{3}{16(l\varphi_0)^4}, \tag{3.81}$$

$$\hat{T}_{\Theta\Theta} = \hat{T}_{\Phi\Phi} = \hat{T}_{\psi\psi} = \frac{1}{16(l\varphi_0)^4} - \frac{2\Lambda^2}{3} - \frac{12M^2}{r^6}. \tag{3.82}$$

Therefore, the gravitational energy-momentum tensor $\hat{T}_{AB}$ is just diagonal, and is formally similar to that of Maxwell's theory of electromagnetism. At the origin $r = 0$, the Kretschmann invariant and the 3D parts of the stress-energy tensor have a pole, whereas the curvature scaler is finite everywhere. We finish this subsection with one important remark: the dilaton field must satisfy the condition $\varphi(r) \neq$ constant, otherwise, as we can see, one obtains trivial solutions (see also [95]). Therefore, the existence of non-constant dilaton fields in not only the (anti)-monopole solutions, but also the (anti)-dyon solutions (see equations (3.18) and (3.57)) is essential for finding new black hole solutions. Therefore, the scalar fields play very important roles in the Weyl-Yang theory just as they do in the supergravity theories.

### 3.2.2 The $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 r$ case

Let us search for black hole solutions by assuming that the scalar field is of the form of $\varphi(r) = \varphi_0 r$, where $\varphi_0$ is any constant, taking inspiration from the non-Einsteinian condition

in equation (3.57). Then, we obtain from the equation (3.75)

$$\begin{aligned} f'''(r)+\frac{5}{r}f''(r)-\frac{5}{r^2}f'(r) &= 0,\\ f''(r)+\frac{3}{r}f'(r)-\frac{8}{r^2}f(r)+\frac{2}{r^2} &= 0,\\ f''(r)+\frac{3}{r}f'(r)-\frac{8}{r^2}f(r)+\frac{1}{\varphi_0^2 l^2 r^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.83}$$

One can easily see that this ansatz leads to the same coefficients of the $f'(r)$ and $f(r)$ terms as in the last two equations of (3.75). Thus, the exact solution can be found as

$$f(r)=\frac{1}{4}+\left(\frac{Z^2}{2r^2}\right)^2-\frac{1}{3}\Lambda r^2, \tag{3.84}$$

where $Z$ and $\Lambda$ are the arbitrary constants of integration. The equation is formally equivalent to the purely charged (anti)-de Sitter metric, and it seems to be a special case of the charged bulk black hole solution of the 5D Einstein-Maxwell system [199–201]. We also obtain the condition $\varphi_0=\pm\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l}$, which means that the dilatonic field is free from singularities

$$\varphi(r)=\pm\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}l}\right)r. \tag{3.85}$$

Solution (3.84) has six distinct roots in the (anti)-de Sitter space-time. For instance, the positive event horizon can be found for the anti-de Sitter case as

$$r_+=\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{\Lambda}\left(1+\Omega+\frac{1}{\Omega}\right)}, \tag{3.86}$$

where

$$\Omega=\left[1+24Z^4\Lambda^2+4\sqrt{3Z^4\Lambda^2(1+12Z^4\Lambda^2)}\right]^{\frac{1}{3}}. \tag{3.87}$$

To avoid the space-like naked singularity, we must again be careful when choosing the constants $Z$ and $\Lambda$. The Kretschmann and Ricci scalars are calculated by means of the equation (3.84) as

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}=\frac{14\Lambda^2}{3}+\frac{9r^8+75Z^8}{4r^{12}}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{R}}=14\Lambda. \tag{3.88}$$

The covariantly-conserved and diagonal energy-momentum tensor also reduces to the following components:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{T}_{tt}=-\hat{T}_{rr} &= \frac{9}{8r^{12}}(r^8-5Z^8)+\Lambda^3,\\ \hat{T}_{\theta\theta}=\hat{T}_{\phi\phi} &= \frac{3}{8r^{12}}(r^8-21Z^8)-\Lambda^3,\\ \hat{T}_{\Theta\Theta}=\hat{T}_{\Phi\Phi}=\hat{T}_{\psi\psi} &= -\frac{1}{8r^{12}}(5r^8+63Z^8)-\Lambda^3. \end{aligned} \tag{3.89}$$

The curvature invariant and the energy-momentum tensors, again, are all finite everywhere except at $r = 0$. At $r \to \infty$, $\hat{\mathcal{K}}$ goes to $\hat{\mathcal{K}} = \frac{14\Lambda^2}{3}$ and $\varphi(r)$ diverges. In this regard, the non-trivial solution (3.84) is well-behaved, since the curvature invariants and charge are finite at infinity.

Let us finally remark that it is quite natural to consider the case where the time components of the gauge fields, as well as the scalar fields, survive and the space components of the gauge fields vanish. Due to the coupled field equations, there are no solutions in this case (see e.g., [202]).

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have combined the Weyl-Yang gravitational gauge theory with the Kaluza-Klein theories of unified gravity against the background of the non-Abelian gauge fields. This is, from a theoretical point of view, a new and rather appealing approach in the literature. The theoretical description was reduced from seven to four dimensions by taking into account the exterior differential forms that provide the more transparent equations along with shortcut calculations. The corresponding equations of motion (2.22)–(2.27) were not only mathematically more complicated than those of the non-Abelian version of the Kaluza-Klein theory that comes from Einstein's gravity, i.e., the $\{\mathcal{P}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{Q}^i_\nu, \mathcal{U}^{ij}\}$-set in (2.36) but also included additional physical information because of the more general field equations. We could easily obtain, for instance, the Yang-Mills force density 4-form $f^{(ij)}_\mu$ in equation (2.38), which only appears in geodesic equations [127, 128], and the usual 4D continuity equation, $\mathcal{D}\star J^i = 0$, with the help of equations (2.23) and (2.24), without making any further assumptions. Furthermore, the right side of the field equation (2.22), i.e., is the $S_{\mu\nu\sigma}$ term (2.28), may conveniently be interpreted as the source term of the 4D Weyl-Yang gauge theory. We have explicitly shown that this source term contains Camenzind's current density for the Abelian case and it can be completely transformed to that if $D_\mu \mathcal{U} = 0$ and $\mathcal{Q}_\mu = 0$. However, it is possible to find an energy-momentum tensor different from (2.50) such that the source term can be put into the desired form (2.39). The considered model also has additional solutions that can not be obtained from Einstein's theory. After Pavelle obtained the static spherically symmetric solution of the 4D Yang equation, he noted that [82] "the solar experiments are presently unable to distinguish between the Kilmister-Yang equations and Einstein's vacuum equations" but today, the situation is different. The new effects of Yang equation on various physical issues such as the strong equivalence principle [37], the vacuum-energy [38], the cosmological constant [38, 40, 41], dark radiation [41, 42], and dark matter [39, 42] have already been discussed by several authors. Let us remark that the reduction mechanism transformed the higher-dimensional sourceless Weyl-Yang theory into the 4D Weyl-Yang theory with a source term in the usual 4D space-time. In fact, the same situation has already been discussed for the 5D version of the presented theory with the $U(1)$ Abelian case [35]. A similar accomplishment has already been obtained by ordinary Kaluza-Klein theories, in which the 5D vacuum equations were reduced to the 4D Einstein-Maxwell-scalar system. This is sometimes called the Kaluza-Klein miracle.

We have also constructed the exact static (anti)-dyon (3.22) and pure magnetic (anti)-monopole solutions (3.78) and (3.84) with the generalized Wu-Yang ansatz and some different types of scalar potentials, keeping in mind the spherically symmetric stationary external and internal space-times with the product topology $M_4 \times S^3$. For the sake of simplicity, we concentrated only on the pure internal symmetry group $\mathcal{G} = SU(2)$, which led to the mixing of the space-time and color indices. These strong restrictions and highly symmetric assumptions were necessary owing to the complex nature of the considered model and the fact that it is already enormously difficult to find a solution in higher dimensions because there is no general method for the same. To analytically discover regular solutions, two special cases with $K(r) = K$ and $J(r) = 0$ were also considered as a type of strategy. In this manner, we obtained the singular Wu-Yang-type (anti)-dyon solution that corresponded to the RNdS-like black hole solution (3.22), which is in a more desirable and suitable form than both Perry's (3.46) and Angus' (3.50) solutions. In addition, its spherically symmetric gauge potentials (3.38) are more general and non-Abelian than both solutions (3.48) and (3.53), and carry unit or constant electric and magnetic charges. It was demonstrated in this approach that the cosmological constant naturally appeared as an integration constant (i.e., "it is a free parameter unrelated to the vacuum energy of quantum fields" according to Cook [38]) and that it was associated with the constant dilaton field as well as with the three-sphere radius. The general structure of this regular black hole was also studied in detail by means of its mathematical similarity to the well-known RNdS black hole solution. The corresponding gravitational stress-energy tensors of these solutions were also analyzed. On the other hand, the pure magnetic (anti)-monopole solution (3.84) appears in the literature for the first time in connection with the Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein theory and the corresponding gravitational stress-energy tensor (3.89). It is just diagonal and formally similar to that of Maxwell's theory of electromagnetism, which means that the coupled non-linear differential equations (3.75) contain some mathematically interesting features. In conclusion, the (4+3)D non-Abelian Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein theory with the Wu-Yang ansatz admits a non-trivial solution against the background of the static spherically-symmetric metric with a three-sphere.

Whether the solution is a monopole or an anti-monopole may depend on the sign of the massive scalar Higgs field [193, 203], on the sign of the Bogomol'nyi equations [204], or on the $\phi$-winding number, which can be a positive or negative integer of the internal space [205, 206]. We have shown in this study that the sign of the internal space-squashing parameter $\ell$ determines whether the solution is a dyon/monopole or an anti-dyon/anti-monopole. Moreover, we have topologically presented non-trivial anti-dyon and anti-monopole solutions, which do not solve the source-free Yang-Mills equations in the Minkowski space-time, by taking into account the round version of the internal space. Finally, the existence of non-constant dilaton fields is essential for finding new black hole solutions in the Weyl-Yang gauge theory.

It would be interesting to discover the Abelian solutions of the 5D version of this alternative gravitational model in both the ordinary 4D and 5D space-times. We may consider the $U(1)$ Abelian ansatz (and its group manifold, $S^1$) from the non-Abelian gauge

by assuming that only the $\mathcal{A}^i_a(\vec{x})$ component of the gauge field survives, that is

$$\mathcal{A}^i_a(\vec{x}) = \delta^i_3 \mathcal{A}^{(Dirac)}_a(r,\theta). \tag{4.1}$$

Obtaining the 4D field equations from the Weyl-rescaled reduced action,

$$\tilde{S} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{M_4} d^4x\sqrt{-\tilde{\hat{g}}}\,\tilde{\hat{R}}_{ABCD}\tilde{\hat{R}}^{ABCD}, \tag{4.2}$$

and investigating whether this non-linear coupled system of partial differential equations possesses a well-posed initial value formulation as other higher-derivative gravity theories [207] are other important mathematical challenges. A detailed discussion of these problems will be presented in a forthcoming study.

## References


[1] A. Palatini, *Deduzione invariantiva delle equazioni gravitazionali dal principio di Hamilton, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* **43** (1919) 203.

[2] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, San Francisco (1973), chap. 21.

[3] M. Tsamparlis, *On the Palatini method of variation, J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1977) 555.

[4] M. Ferraris, M. Francaviglia and C. Reina, *Variational formulation of general relativity from 1915 to 1925 Palatini's method discovered by Einstein in 1925, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **14** (1982) 243.

[5] E. E. Fairchild Jr., *Gauge theory of gravitation, Phys. Rev.* D **14** (1976) 384 [*Erratum ibid* **14** (1976) 2833].

[6] E. E. Fairchild Jr., *Yang-Mills formulation of gravitational dynamics, Phys. Rev.* D **16** (1977) 2438.

[7] H. Weyl, *Gravitation and electricity, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)* **1918** (1918) 465, *ibid A New Extension of Relativity Theory, Ann. Phys. (Leipzig)* **59** (1919) 101, *ibid Über die physikalischen Grundlagen der erweiterten Relativitätstheorie Phys. Zeitschr.* **22** (1921) 473.

[8] C. Lanczos, *A Remarkable Property of the Riemann-Christoffel Tensor in Four Dimensions, Ann. Math.* **39** (1938) 842, *ibid Lagrangian Multiplier and Riemannian Spaces, Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21** (1949) 497, *ibid Electricity and General Relativity, Rev. Mod. Phys.* **29** (1957) 337.

[9] R. Utiyama, *Invariant Theoretical Interpretation of Interaction, Phys. Rev.* **101** (1956) 1597.

[10] A. Lichnerowicz, *Sur un procedé de quantification du champ de gravitation, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **247** (1958) 433.

[11] G. Stephenson, *Quadratic lagrangians and general relativity, Il Nuovo Cimento* **9** (1958) 263.

[12] P. W. Higgs, *Quadratic lagrangians and general relativity, Il Nuovo Cimento* **11** (1959) 816.

[13] C. W. Kilmister and D. J. Newman, *The use of algebraic structures in physics, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **57** (1961) 851, *ibid* in *Proceedings of the Workshop on Les théories relativistes de la gravitation*, Royaumont (1959).

[14] H. G. Loos, *Spin connection in general relativity, Ann. Phys.* **25** (1963) 91.

[15] H. G. Loos and R. P. Treat, *Conditional dynamic equivalence of free Yang-Mills fields and free gravitational fields, Phys. Lett.* A **26** (1967) 91.

[16] C. N. Yang, *Integral Formalism for Gauge Fields, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 445.

[17] S. Ramaswamy and P. B. Yasskin, *Birkhoff theorem for an $R + R^2$ theory of gravity with torsion, Phys. Rev.* D **19** (1979) 2264.

[18] A. A. Tseytlin, *Poincaré and de Sitter gauge theories of gravity with propagating torsion, Phys. Rev.* D **26** (1982) 3327.

[19] V. Szczyrbac, *Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang theory of gravity and its dynamics, Phys. Rev.* D **36** (1987) 351.

[20] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, and Y. Ne'eman, *Progress in metric-affine gauge theories of gravity with local scale invariance, Found. Phys.* **19** (1989) 1075.

[21] J. W. Maluf, *On the absence of physical degrees of freedom in the Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang theory, J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991) 1556.

[22] B. Rose, *Field equations for gravity quadratic in the curvature, Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **31** (1992) 1283.

[23] B. S. Guilfoyle and B. C. Nolan, *Yang's Gravitational Theory, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **30** (1998) 473.

[24] D. Vassiliev, *Pseudoinstantons in Metric-Affine Field Theory, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **34** (2002) 1239.

[25] E. W. Mielke and A. A. Rincón Maggiolo, *Duality in Yang's theory of gravity, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **37** (2005) 997.

[26] J. Q. Shen, *Gravitational Gauge Theory Developed Based on the Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang Equation, Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **48** (2009) 1566.

[27] V. Pasic and E. Barakovic, *PP-waves with torsion: A metric-affine model for the massless neutrino, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46** (2014) 1787.

[28] Th. Kaluza, *On the unification problem in physics, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)* **K1** (1921) 966.

[29] O. Klein, *Quantum theory and five-dimensional relativity, Z. Phys.* **37** (1926) 895, *ibid Nature* **118** (1926) 516.

[30] H. Mandel, *Zur Herleitung der Feldgleichungen in der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie, Z. Phys.* **39** (1926) 136.

[31] T. Appelquist, A. Chodos, and P. G. O. Freund, *Modern Kaluza-Klein theories*, Addison-Wesley (1987).

[32] D. Bailin and A. Love, *Kaluza-Klein theories, Rep. Prog. Phys.* **50** (1987) 1087.

[33] M. J. Duff, *Kaluza-Klein Theory in Perspective* [arXiv:9410046].

[34] J. M. Overduin and P. S. Wesson, *Kaluza-Klein gravity, Phys. Rep.* **283** (1997) 303.

[35] S. Başkal and H. Kuyrukcu, *Kaluza-Klein reduction of a quadratic curvature model, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **45** (2013) 359.

[36] H. Kuyrukcu, *The non-Abelian Weyl-Yang-Kaluza-Klein gravity model, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **46** (2014) 1751.

[37] J.-M. Gerard, *The strong equivalence principle from a gravitational gauge structure, Class. Quantum Grav.* **24** (2007) 1867.

[38] R. J. Cook, *The Gravitational-Electromagnetic Analogy: A Possible Solution to the Vacuum-Energy and Dark-Energy Problems* [arXiv:0810.4495].

[39] G. R. Gonzalez-Martin, *The G Gravitational Parameter and the Concepts of Mass and Dark Matter* [arXiv:0910.3380].

[40] P. Chen, *Gauge theory of gravity with de sitter symmetry as a solution to the cosmological constant problem and the dark energy puzzle, Modern Phys. Lett.* A **25** (2010) 2795.

[41] P. Chen, K. Izumi and N.-E. Tung, *Natural emergence of cosmological constant and dark radiation from the Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang-Camenzind theory of gravity, Phys. Rev.* D **88** (2013) 123006.

[42] Y. Yang and W. B. Yeung, *Quadratic Gravitational Lagrangian with Torsion Can Give Possible Explanations of the Form of Galactic Rotation Curves, of the Amount of Intergalactic Lensings, and of the Accelerating Expansion of the Universe* [arXiv:1111.7062]; *Spontaneously Broken Erlangen Program Offers a Bridge Between the Einstein and the Yang-Mills Theories* [arXiv:1205.2690]; *A Yang-Mills Type Gauge Theory of Gravity and the Dark Matter and Dark Energy Problems* [arXiv:1210.0529]; *The GL(4 R) Yang-Mills Theory of Gravity Predicts An Inflationary Scenario For The Evolution of The Primordial Universe* [arXiv:1303.3801]; *A New Approach to the Yang-Mills Gauge Theory of Gravity and Its Applications* [arXiv:1312.4528].

[43] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, *General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects, Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48** (1976) 393.

[44] F. W. Hehl, Y. Ne'eman, J. Nitsch, and P. von der Heyde, *Short-range confining component in a quadratic poincaré gauge theory of gravitation, Phys. Lett.* B **78** (1978) 102.

[45] H. Goenner and F. Müller-Hoissen, *Spatially homogeneous and isotropic spaces in theories of gravitation with torsion, Class. Quantum Grav.* **1** (1984) 651.

[46] J. Garecki, *New physical interpretation of the Bel-Robinson tensor, Class. Quantum Grav.* **2** (1985) 403.

[47] J. W. Maluf, *The Stephenson-Kilmister-Yang Hamiltonian, Class. Quantum Grav.* **5** (1988) L81.

[48] G. Sardanashvily and O. Zakharov, *Gauge Gravitation Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore (1992).

[49] F. W. Hehl, J. D. McCrea, E. W. Mielke, and Y. Ne'eman, *Metric-affine gauge theory of gravity: field equations, Noether identities, world spinors, and breaking of dilation invariance, Phys. Rept.* **258** (1995) 1.

[50] F. Gronwald and F. W. Hehl, *On the Gauge Aspects of Gravity* [arXiv:9602013].

[51] F. Gronwald, *Metric-Affine Gauge Theory of Gravity I: Fundamental Structure and Field Equations, Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D **6** (1997) 263.

[52] F. W. Hehl and A. Macias, *Metric-Affine Gauge Theory of Gravity II: Exact Solutions, Int. J. Mod. Phys.* D **8** (1999) 399.

[53] J.-E. Daum and M. Reuter, *Einstein-Cartan gravity, Asymptotic Safety, and the running Immirzi parameter, Ann. Phys.* **334** (2013) 351.

[54] M. Blagojević and F. W. Hehl, *Gauge Theories of Gravitation: A Reader with Commentaries*, Imperial College Press, London (2013).

[55] F. W. Hehl, Y. N. Obukhov and D. Puetzfeld, *On Poincaré gauge theory of gravity, its equations of motion, and Gravity Probe B, Phys. Lett.* A **377** (2013) 1775.

[56] B. H. Dean, *Variational Results and Solutions in Gauge Gravity and a Bifurcation Analysis of Black Hole Orbital Dynamics* [arXiv:1312.7501].

[57] C. Aragone and A. Restuccia, *Dynamical structure of linearized GL(4) gravities, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **9** (1978) 409.

[58] M. Camenzind, *Theories of Gravity with Structure-Dependent $\gamma$'s, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** (1975) 1188, *ibid Weak and strong sources of gravity: An $SO(1,3)$-gauge theory of gravity Phys. Rev.* D **18** (1978) 1068, *ibid Homogeneous and isotropic world models in the Yang-Mills dynamics of gravity. The structure of the adiabats, J. Math. Phys.* **19** (1978) 624.

[59] C. Y. Lee and Y. Ne'eman, *Renormalization of gauge-affine gravity, Phys. Lett.* B **242** (1990) 59.

[60] R. Pavelle, *Unphysical Solutions of Yang's Gravitational-Field Equations, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34** (1975) 1114.

[61] L. Bel, *Sur la radiation gravitationelle, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **247** (1958) 1094, *ibid Introduction d'un tenseur du quatrieme ordre, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **248** (1959) 1297.

[62] L. Robinson, *Kings College Lectures* (unpublished) (1958), *ibid On the Bel - Robinson tensor, Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** (1997) A331.

[63] B. Mashhoon, J. C. McClune and H. Quevedo, *On the gravitoelectromagnetic stress-energy tensor, Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** (1999) 1137.

[64] J. D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, (1999).

[65] C. N. Yang and R. L. Mills, *Conservation of Isotopic Spin and Isotopic Gauge Invariance, Phys. Rev.* **96** (1954) 191.

[66] E. Kretschmann, *Uber die prinzipielle Bestimmbarkeit der berechtigten Bezugssysteme beliebiger Relativitätstheorien (I), Ann. der Phys.* **48** (1915) 907.

[67] P. Candelas, G. T. Horowitz, A. Strominger and E. Witten, *Vacuum configurations for superstrings, Nucl. Phys.* B **258** (1985) 46.

[68] D. J. Gross, J. Harvey, E. Martinec and R. Rohm, *Heterotic String, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **54** (1985) 502.

[69] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, *Superstring modifications of Einstein's equations, Nucl. Phys.* B **277** (1986) 1.

[70] O. Lauscher and M. Reuter, *Flow equation of quantum Einstein gravity in a higher-derivative truncation, Phys. Rev.* D **66** (2002) 025026.

[71] G. Cognola and et al., *Class of viable modified $f(R)$ gravities describing inflation and the onset of accelerated expansion, Phys. Rev.* D **77** (2008) 046009.

[72] R. Utiyama and B. S. DeWitt, *Renormalization of a Classical Gravitational Field Interacting with Quantized Matter Fields, J. Math Phys.* **3** (1962) 608.

[73] K. S. Stelle, *Renormalization of higher-derivative quantum gravity, Phys. Rev.* D **16** (1977) 953.

[74] E. T. Tomboulis, $1N$ *expansion and renormalization in quantum gravity, Phys. Lett.* B **70** (1977) 361.

[75] A. A. Slavnov, *Ward identities in gauge theories, Theor. Math. Phys.* **10** (1972) 99.

[76] G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, *Regularization and renormalization of gauge fields, Nucl. Phys.* B **44** (1972) 189.

[77] E. T. Tomboulis, *Renormalizability and asymptotic freedom in quantum gravity, Phys. Lett.* B **97** (1980) 77.

[78] F. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, *Renormalizable asymptotically free quantum theory of gravity, Nucl. Phys.* B **201** (1982) 469.

[79] A. Salam and J. Strathdee, *Remarks on high-energy stability and renormalizability of gravity theory, Phys. Rev.* D **18** (1978) 4480.

[80] K. S. Stelle, *Classical gravity with higher derivatives, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **9** (1978) 353.

[81] I. Antoniadis and E. T. Tomboulis, *Gauge invariance and unitarity in higher-derivative quantum gravity, Phys. Rev.* D **33** (1986) 2756.

[82] R. Pavelle, *Yang's Gravitational Field Equations, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33** (1974) 1461.

[83] R. Pavelle, *Unphysical Characteristics of Yang's Pure-Space Equations, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **37** (1976) 961.

[84] R. Pavelle, *Mansouri-Chang Gravitation Theory, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **40** (1978) 267.

[85] A. H. Thompson, *Yang's Gravitational Field Equations, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **34** (1975) 507, *ibid Geometrically Degenerate Solutions of the Kilmister-Yang Equations* **35** (1975) 320.

[86] G. W. Barrent, L. J. Rose and A. E. Stuart, *Minimal differential equations for the Kilmister-Yang model of gravity, Phys. Lett.* A **60** (1977) 278.

[87] P. Baekler, F. W. Hehl and E. W. Mielke, in *Proceedings of the Second Marcel Grossmann Meetings on General Relativity*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982 pg. 413.

[88] P. Baekler, F. W. Hehl and H. J. Lenzen, in *Proceedings of the Third Marcel Grossmann Meetings on General Relativity*, Science Press, Beijing and North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.

[89] P. Baekler and P. B. Yasskin, *All torsion-free spherical vacuum solutions of the quadratic Poincaré gauge theory of gravity, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **16** (1984) 1135.

[90] R. R. Hsu and W. B. Yeung, *The Gravitational Field of a Spinless Point Source in the Poincaré Gauge Theory of Gravitation with a Quadratic Lagrangian, Chin. J. Phys.* **25** (1987) 463.

[91] W.-T. Ni, *Yang's Gravitational Field Equations, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **35** (1975) 319 [*Erratum ibid* **35** (1975) 1748].

[92] I. M. Benn, T. Dereli and R. W. Tucker, *Double-dual solutions of generalized theories of gravitation, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **13** (1981) 581.

[93] E. W. Mielke, *On pseudoparticle solutions in Yang's theory of gravity, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **13** (1981) 175.

[94] S. Başkal, *Radiation in Yang-Mills formulation of Gravity and a Generalized pp-Wave metric, Prog. Theor. Phys.* **102** (1999) 803.

[95] H. Kuyrukcu, *PP-wave solutions of the Weyl-Yang theory of gravity with a five-dimensional Kaluza-Klein ansatz, Class. Quantum Grav.* **30** (2013) 155013.

[96] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, *Black Holes in Higher Dimensions, Living Rev. Relat.* **11** (2008) 6.

[97] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, in *Properties of Matter Under Unusual Conditions, Festschrift for the 60th birtday of E. Teller*, Interscience, New York, 1968 pg. 349.

[98] T. T. Wu and C. N. Yang, *Some remarks about unquantized non-Abelian gauge fields, Phys. Rev.* D **12** (1975) 3843.

[99] A. Actor, *Classical solutions of $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills theories, Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51** (1979) 461.

[100] M. Carmeli, Kh. Huleihil and E. Leibowitz, *Gauge fields, Classification and Equations of Motion*, World Sci., Singapore (1989).

[101] C.-S. Chu, S.-L. Ko and P. Vanichchapongjaroen, *Non-abelian self-dual string solutions, J. High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012) 18.

[102] P. A. M. Dirac, *Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field, Proc. R. Soc. London Ser.* A **133** (1931) 60.

[103] P. Goddard and D. I. Olive, *Magnetic monopoles in gauge field theories, Rep. Prog. Phys.* **41** (1978) 1357.

[104] Y. M. Shnir, *Magnetic monopoles*, Berlin, Germany (2005), pg. 532.

[105] K. Konishi and K. Takenaga, *Confinement, chiral symmetry breaking and Faddeev-Niemi decomposition in QCD, Phys. Lett.* B **508** (2001) 392.

[106] J. P. Preskill, *Magnetic Monopoles, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.* **34** (1984) 461.

[107] F. A. Bais and R. J. Russell, *Magnetic-monopole solution of non-Abelian gauge theory in curved spacetime, Phys. Rev.* D **11** (1975) 2692.

[108] Y. M. Cho and P. G. O. Freund, *Gravitating 't Hooft monopoles, Phys. Rev.* D **12** (1975) 1588.

[109] P. B. Yasskin, *Solutions for gravity coupled to massless gauge fields, Phys. Rev.* D **12** (1975) 2212.

[110] M. S. Volkov and D. V. Galt'sov, *Gravitating non-Abelian solitons and black holes with Yang-Mills fields, Phys. Rep.* **319** (1999) 1.

[111] A. Einstein and P. Bergmann, *On a Generalization of Kaluza's Theory of Electricity, Ann. Math.* **39** (1938) 683.

[112] B. S. De Witt, *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, New York: Gordon and Breach (1964), pg. 725.

[113] B. S. De Witt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields*, New York: Gordon and Breach (1965), pg. 139.

[114] R. D. Sorkin, *Kaluza-Klein Monopole, Phys. Rev. Lett.* **51** (1983) 87.

[115] D. J. Gross and M. J. Perry, *Magnetic monopoles in Kaluza-Klein theories, Nucl. Phys.* B **226** (1983) 29.

[116] H. M. Lee and S. C. Lee, *Spherically symmetric Kaluza-Klein monopoles, Phys. Lett.* B **149** (1984) 95.

[117] S. C. Lee, *Kaluza-Klein dyons and the Toda lattice, Phys. Lett.* B **149** (1984) 98, *ibid Kaluza-Klein monopoles in six dimensions* **149** (1984) 100.

[118] M. J. Perry, *Non-abelian Kaluza-Klein monopoles, Phys. Lett.* B **137** (1984) 171.

[119] I. G. Angus, *Magnetic monopoles in nonabelian Kaluza-Klein theories, Nucl. Phys.* B **264** (1986) 337.

[120] I. I. Cotăescu, *Generalized Dirac monopoles in non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories, Nucl. Phys.* B **719** (2005) 140.

[121] M. Arık, E. Hizel and A. Mostafazadeh, *The Schwarzschild solution in non-Abelian Kaluza-Klein theory, Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** (1990) 1425.

[122] F. A. Lunev, *Three dimensional Yang-Mills theory in gauge invariant variables, Phys. Lett.* B **295** (1992) 99.

[123] J. Okada, *Symmetry breakings in the Kaluza-Klein theory, Class. Quantum Grav.* **3** (1986) 221.

[124] I. M. Benn and R. W. Tucker, *An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry with Applications in Physics*, Bristol, Philadelphia (1987), pg. 215.

[125] H. C. Lee, *An Introduction to Kaluza-Klein Theories: Proceedings of the Workshop on Kaluza-Klein Theories*, Chalk River, Canada (1983), pg. 167.

[126] T. Dereli and G. Üçoluk, *Direct-curvature Yang-Mills field couplings induced by the Kaluza-Klein reduction of Euler form actions in seven dimensions, Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** (1990) 533.

[127] R. Kerner, *Generalization of the Kaluza-Klein theory for an arbitrary non-Abelian group, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **9** (1968) 143.

[128] C. A. Orzalesi and M. Pauri, *Geodesic motion in multidimensional unified gauge theories, Il Nuovo Cimento* B **68** (1982) 193.

[129] M. Camenzind, *On the curvature dynamics for metric gravitational theories, J. Math. Phys.* **16** (1975) 1023.

[130] M. Camenzind, *On the Yang-Mills structure of gravitation: A new issue of the final state, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **8** (1977) 103.

[131] P. Ellicott and D. J. Toms, *Grassmannian Kaluza-Klein theory, Class. Quantum Grav.* **6** (1989) 1033.

[132] P. S. Wesson, *Space Time Matter: Modern Kaluza-Klein Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Singapore (1999), pg. 149.

[133] D. W. Henderso, *Experiencing Geometry: In Euclidean, Spherical, and Hyperbolic Spaces*, 2nd edition, Pearson Education (2001), chap. 20.

[134] M. Berger, *Les variétés riemanniennes homogénes normales simplement connexes à courbure strictement positive, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* **15** (1961) 179.

[135] A. H. Taub, *Empty Space-Times Admitting a Three Parameter Group of Motions, Ann. Math.* **53** (1951) 472.

[136] E. Newman, L. Tamburino and T. Unti, *Empty-Space Generalization of the Schwarzschild Metric, J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 915.

[137] C. W. Misner, *The Flatter Regions of Newman, Unti, and Tamburino's Generalized Schwarzschild Space, J. Math. Phys.* **4** (1963) 924.

[138] T. C. Shen and J. Sobczyk, *Higher-dimensional self-consistent solution with deformed internal space, Phys. Rev.* D **36** (1987) 397.

[139] M. J. Duff, B. E. W. Nilsson and C. N. Pope, *Kaluza-Klein supergravity, Phys. Rept.* **130** 1 (1986), pg. 38.

[140] J. S. Dowke, *Effective actions on the squashed 3-sphere, Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** (1999) 1937.

[141] S. Başkal and T. Dereli, *Higher-derivative effective Yang-Mills theory and static spherically symmetric field configurations, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **19** (1993) 477.

[142] J.-P. Ngome, *(Super)symmetries of semiclassical models in theoretical and condensed matter physics* [arXiv:1103.4876].

[143] I. M. Benn and R. W. Tucker, *An Introduction to Spinors and Geometry with Applications in Physics*, Bristol, Philadelphia (1987), pg. 192.

[144] Atlas 2: http://www.digi-area.com/Mathematica/atlas/.

[145] R. P. Mondaini and N. O. Santos, *Conformally flat Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs solutions with spherical symmetry, Phys. Rev.* D **28** (1983) 1872.

[146] D. Singleton, *Exact Schwarzschild-like solution for Yang-Mills theories, Phys. Rev.* D **51** (1995) 5911.

[147] J. Schwinger, *A Magnetic Model of Matter, Science* **165** (1969) 757.

[148] B. Julia and A. Zee, *Poles with both magnetic and electric charges in non-Abelian gauge theory, Phys. Rev.* D **11** (1975) 2227.

[149] G. 't Hooft, *Magnetic monopoles in unified gauge theories, Nucl. Phys.* B **79** (1974) 276.

[150] A. M. Polyakov, *Particle spectrum in quantum field theory, JETP Lett.* **20** (1974) 194, *ibid Isomeric states of quantum fields, Sov. Phys.-JETP* **41** (1975) 988, *ibid Compact gauge fields and the infrared catastrophe, Phys. Lett.* B **59** (1975) 82.

[151] B. Carter, in *Black Holes*, Gordon and Breach, New York (1973).

[152] L. J. Romans, *Supersymmetric, cold and lukewarm black holes in cosmological Einstein-Maxwell theory, Nucl. Phys.* B **383** (1992) 395.

[153] D. R. Brill and S. A. Hayward, *Global structure of a black hole cosmos and its extremes, Class. Quantum Grav.* **11** (1994) 359.

[154] M. Y. Wang, *A solution of coupled Einstein-SO(3) gauge field equations, Phys. Rev.* D **12** (1975) 3069.

[155] P. van Nieuwenhuizen, D. Wilkinson and M. J. Perry, *Regular solution of 't Hooft's magnetic monopole model in curved space, Phys. Rev.* D **13** (1976) 778.

[156] P. Cordero, *Canonical formulation of the spherically symmetric Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs system for a general gauge group, Ann. Phys.* **108** (1977) 79.

[157] R. Benguria, P. Cordero and C. Teitelboim, *Aspects of the Hamiltonian dynamics of interacting gravitational gauge and Higgs fields with applications to spherical symmetry, Nucl. Phys.* B **122** (1977) 61.

[158] A. Comtet, *Magnetic monopoles in curved spacetimes, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **32** (1980) 283.

[159] C. M. Chambers, *The Cauchy horizon in black hole de sitter space-times, Annals Israel Phys.Soc.* **13** (1997) 33.

[160] H. Stephani, D. Karamer, M. A. H. Maccallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations*, 2nd edition, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England (2003).

[161] A. G. Riess and et al., *Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant, Astron. J.* **116** (1998) 1009.

[162] S. Perlmutter and et al., *Measurements of and from* 42 *High-Redshift Supernovae, Astrophys. J.* **517** (1999) 565.

[163] P. J. E. Peebles and B. Ratra, *The cosmological constant and dark energy, Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** (2003) 559.

[164] V. Kagramanova, J. Kunz and C. Lämmerzahl, *Solar system effects in Schwarzschild-de Sitter space-time, Phys. Lett.* B **634** (2006) 465.

[165] K. Lake, *Reissner-Nordstörm-de Sitter metric, the third law, and cosmic censorship, Phys. Rev.* D **19** (1979) 421.

[166] R. Bousso, *Quantum global structure of de Sitter space, Phys. Rev.* D **60** (1999) 063503.

[167] M. A. Rahman and M. H. Ali, *Transverse wave propagation in relativistic two-fluid plasmas around Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter black hole, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **42** (2010) 1623.

[168] B. Hartmann, Y. Brihaye and B. Bertrand, *Spherically symmetric Yang-Mills solutions in a 5-dimensional (Anti-) de Sitter space-time, Phys. Lett.* B **570** (2003) 137.

[169] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London (1984), chap. 12.

[170] S. W. Hawking, *Particle creation by black holes, Commun Math. Phys.* **43** (1975) 199.

[171] S. A. Ridgway and E. J. Weinberg, *Static black hole solutions without rotational symmetry, Phys. Rev.* D **52** (1995) 3440.

[172] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation, Phys. Rev.* D **15** (1977) 2738.

[173] R. B. Mann and S. F. Ross, *Cosmological production of charged black hole pairs, Phys. Rev.* D **52** (1995) 2254.

[174] F. Mellor and I. Moss, *Black holes and quantum wormholes, Phys. Lett.* B **222** (1989) 361, *ibid Black holes and gravitational instantons, Class. Quantum Grav.* **6** (1989) 1379.

[175] A. R. Lugo and F. A. Schaposnik, *Monopole and dyon solutions in AdS space, Phys. Lett.* B **467** (1999) 43.

[176] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and S. Fairhurst, *Mechanics of isolated horizons, Class. Quantum Grav.* **17** (2000) 253.

[177] A. Ashtekar, S. Fairhurst and B. Krishnan, *Isolated horizons: Hamiltonian evolution and the first law, Phys. Rev.* D **62** (2000) 104025.

[178] A. Corichi and D. Sudarsky, *Mass of colored black holes, Phys. Rev.* D. **61** (2000) 101501.

[179] A. Corichi, U. Nucamendi and D. Sudarsky, *Einstein-Yang-Mills isolated horizons: Phase space, mechanics, hair, and conjectures, Phys. Rev.* D (2000) **62** 044046.

[180] D. Sudarsky and R. M. Wald, *Extrema of mass, stationarity, and staticity, and solutions to the Einstein-Yang-Mills equations, Phys. Rev.* D. **46** (1992) 1453.

[181] B. Hartmann, B. Kleihaus and J. Kunz, *Axially symmetric monopoles and black holes in Einstein-Yang-Mills-Higgs theory, Phys. Rev.* D **65** (2002) 024027.

[182] S. F. Kleihaus, J. Kunz and F. Navarro-Lérida, *Rotating Einstein-Yang-Mills black holes, Phys. Rev.* D **66** (2002) 104001.

[183] J. E. Baxter, M. Helbling and E. Winstanley, *Soliton and black hole solutions of $su(N)$ Einstein-Yang-Mills theory in anti-de Sitter space, Phys. Rev.* D **76** (2007) 104017.

[184] J. E. Baxter and E. Winstanley, *On the existence of soliton and hairy black hole solutions of $\mathfrak{su}(N)$ Einstein-Yang-Mills theory with a negative cosmological constant, Class. Quantum Grav.* **25** (2008) 245014.

[185] B. L. Shepherd and E. Winstanley, *Characterizing asymptotically anti-de Sitter black holes with abundant stable gauge field hair, Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012) 155004.

[186] M. Kasuya and M. Kamata, *An exact dyon solution with the Reissner-Nordström metric, Il Nuovo Cimento* B **66** (1981) 75.

[187] M. Kasuya, *Gravitating Julia-Zee dyon and the cosmological term, Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **14** (1982) 293.

[188] M. Kasuya, *Exact solution of a rotating dyon black hole, Phys. Rev.* D **25** (1982) 995.

[189] M. J. Perry, *Black holes are coloured, Phys. Lett.* B **71** (1977) 234.

[190] R. L. Stuller, *$SU(2)$ Yang-Mills Coulomb Green's functions in the presence of a generalized Wu-Yang configuration, Phys. Rev.* D **22** (1980) 2510.

[191] M. K. Prasad and C. M. Sommerfield, *Exact Classical Solution for the 't Hooft Monopole and the Julia-Zee Dyon, Phys. Rev. Letts.* **35** (1975) 760.

[192] E. B. Bogomolnyi, *The stability of classical solutions, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24** (1976) 449.

[193] W. J. Marciano, *Magnetic monopoles and non-Abelian gauge theories, Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **17** (1978) 275.

[194] I. G. Angus, *Spherically symmetric solutions in Abelian Kaluza-Klein theories, Nucl. Phys.* B **264** (1986) 349.

[195] F. Kottler, *Über die physikalischen Grunglagen der Einsteinschen Gravitationstheorie, Ann. Physik.* **56** (361) (1918) 401.

[196] H. Weyl, *Über die statischen, kugelsymmetrischen Lösungen von Einsteins kosmologischen Gravitationsgleichungen, Phys. Zeitschr.* **20** (1919) 31.

[197] E. Trefftz, *Das statische Gravitationsfeld zweier Massenpunkte in der Einsteinschen Theorie, Math. Ann.* **86** (1922) 317.

[198] C. Molina, D. Giugno, E. Abdalla and A. Saa, *Field propagation in de Sitter black holes, Phys. Rev.* D **69** (2004) 104013.

[199] C. Barcelo and M. Visser, *Living on the edge: cosmology on the boundary of anti-de Sitter space, Phys. Lett.* B **482** (2000) 183.

[200] R. Maartens, *Brane-World Gravity, Living Rev. Relat.* **7** (2004) 7, pg. 43.

[201] R. Maartens and K. Koyama, *Brane-World Gravity, Living Rev. Relat.* **13** (2010) 5, pg. 47.

[202] B. C. Nolan and E. Winstanley, *On the existence of dyons and dyonic black holes in Einstein-Yang-Mills theory, Class. Quantum Grav.* **29** (2012) 235024.

[203] W. Marciano and H. Pagels, *Quantum chromodynamics, Phys. Rep.* **36** (1978) 137, pg. 244.

[204] P. Rossi, *Exact results in the theory of non-Abelian magnetic monopoles Phys. Rep.* **86** 317 (1982), pg. 337.

[205] R. Teh and K.-M. Wong, *Static monopoles and their anticonfigurations, Int. J. Mod. Phys.* A **20** (2005) 4291.

[206] R. Teh, *A Note on the Julia-Zee Dyon Solution* [arXiv:0505058].

[207] D. R. Noakes, *The initial value formulation of higher derivative gravity, J. Math. Phys.* **24** (1983) 1846.